

# TECHNICAL ASSIGNMENT 2

October 16, 2013

### SOUTH HALLS RENOVATION: EWING-CROSS UNIVERSITY PARK, PA

### Quaid Spearing | Ewing-Cross Renovation

Construction Option Advisor: Dr. Anumba

### SOUTH HALLS RENOVATION: EWING-CROSS

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Technical Assignment 2 focuses on the key attributes of the Ewing-Cross Renovation that affect project execution. The Analyses performed look at the critical aspects of the project during construction. These include: the project schedule, structural and MEP estimates, general conditions estimate, site planning, constructability challenges, and the Building Information Modeling use.

The detailed project schedule shows an in depth look at the sequencing of work and durations of each activity. The construction start date is set at May 17, 2013, and is expected to hit final completion on January 14, 2014. This translates to a construction schedule of seven months, or approximately 176 working days. The MEP rough in and interior finishes drives the schedule as each floor takes approximately 73 days to complete, with work occurring simultaneously on several floors.

A detailed structural systems estimate and assemblies MEP estimates were performed to further analyze the cost of Ewing-Cross. The MEP assemblies estimate was found to be \$2,951,000 which is significantly lower than the actual cost of \$4,087,000. This can be attributed to the fact that RSMeans does not have a comparable item for the ERV systems and their ductwork. The detailed structural estimate includes the primary structural steel, the restroom slabs, roof framing, and the exterior elevated slabs. The concrete cost was estimated to be \$354,000, compared to the actual cost \$414,900. The cost difference can be accounted to the fact that the excavation for foundations was not included in the estimated cost. The metals estimate was approximately \$299,000. This was significantly lower than the \$413,950 actual cost, but is attributed to not including interior metal railings and the various other nonstructural metals.

The site layout planning was analyzed to gain a better understanding of how the site is utilized during each phase of construction. Site plans for the Demolition, Superstructure, and Enclosure phases were created.

The general conditions estimate for phase 1 of the South Halls Renovation came to approximately \$2,760,000 or \$138,000 per month. The largest portion of this being the staffing costs at 49% of the total GC costs, with insurance and contingency making up the next largest portions at 19% and 21% respectively.

The main constructability challenges faced by the project team at South Halls include the MEP coordination, site constraints/location, and the existing floor slabs.

Finally, the Building Information Modeling use for South Halls was analyzed to understand how BIM was actually being used. It was found that BIM is being used for a wide variety of purposes including: design review, 3D coordination, sustainability evaluation, existing conditions modeling, and record modeling. Overall, the BIM use is very good, but there is some room for improvement in regards to 4D modeling and cost estimation.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	i
Project Schedule	1
Assemblies Estimate	5
Detailed Structural Estimate	6
Site Layout Planning	9
General Conditions Estimate	
Constructability Challenges	
BIM Use Evaluation	
Appendix A: Detailed Project Schedule	
Appendix B: Assemblies MEP Estimate & Detailed Structural System Estimate	
Appendix C: Site Layout Planning	
Appendix D: General Conditions Estimate	
Appendix E: BIM Use Evaluation	
Appendix F: References	

#### PROJECT SCHEDULE

The South Halls Construction and Renovation Project started in 2009 when Penn State had a feasibility study performed to look into the potential construction activities that could be performed for the dormitory complex. The construction began approximately 2 years later, with the construction of the new dormitory, Chace, and the renovation of Haller-Lyons. As Ewing-Cross is nearly identical to the other three renovations, the detailed project schedule created focuses on the construction activities of Ewing-Cross.

#### \*See Appendix A for the full detailed project schedule.

Phase	Start	Finish	Duration
Design	5/30/2011	7/30/2012	306
Procurement/Earlier Construction Phases	11/4/2011	6/1/2013	411
Ewing-Cross Construction Start	5/14/2013	4/14/2013	0
Site Work	5/20/2013	11/14/2013	129
Abatement	5/24/2013	6/19/2013	19
Demolition	5/14/2013	7/3/2013	37
Above Grade Structure	5/28/2013	8/14/2013	57
Enclosure	5/17/2013	9/16/2013	87
Framing and Rough In	5/24/2013	8/23/2013	66
Finishes	7/26/2013	11/25/2013	87
Closeout	11/1/2013	1/14/2014	53
Final Completion	1/14/2013	1/14/2014	0

#### **Table 1: Project Phase Overview**

#### DESIGN AND PROCUREMENT

After the initial feasibility study performed in 2009, Penn State requested proposals from prequalified contractors. Barton Malow and Clark Nexsen were selected, and the design phase for the South Halls Renovation began at the end of May, 2011. 100 % construction documents were completed in July of 2012, early after the beginning of construction on Chace and the Haller-Lyons renovation. Design-Assist specialty contractors were chosen in November of 2011, around the same time that the construction documents phase began, and were able to providing valuable input to Clark Nexsen's MEP engineers. Barton Malow's GMP contract with Penn State was finalized on March 17, 2012 and they were given Notice to Proceed on May 1<sup>st</sup>, 2012. The construction of Chace and renovation of Haller-Lyons ran until June of 2013, with the Ewing-Cross renovation beginning in May of 2013.

#### CONSTRUCTION

The construction phase for Ewing-Cross is unique in that a majority of the existing structure will remain. There will be very little excavation work necessary, and most of the existing brick façade enclosure will remain. The project is on an aggressive seven month construction schedule, leading to a lot of work occurring simultaneously. Overall, the construction schedule was divided by the work occurring in Ewing and the work in Cross.

#### **INITIAL SITE WORK**

Barton Malow mobilized at the close of the spring 2013 semester, beginning with the demolition of sidewalks and installation of proper tree protection. Due to the age of the existing structure, asbestos abatement was necessary, which took about one calendar month to complete. The abatement work began on the fourth and third floors of both Ewing and Cross, and then moved to the lower two floors. The demolition of MEP and finishes followed closely behind, beginning with the fourth and third floors, as soon as abatement work was complete on those floors. While the interior demolition was occurring, the demolition of several existing spread and continuous footings took place. This work paves the way for the excavation and pouring of new columns footers, which will support the North side walkway and South side wrap around porch. The exterior site work is broken down into the North and South side work; this includes the meeting rooms on the North and South site work occurs simultaneously, througout the duration of construction. Figure 1 shows how the work is broken down in the detailed project schedule.



Figure 1: Site Work and Demo |Quaid Spearing

#### STRUCTURE

Besides the concrete slab and steel columns, the North walkway and South wrap around porch, the only structural work occurring at Ewing-Cross is the replacement of the restroom concrete slabs. The slabs experienced delamination, due to the separation of the concrete above and below the steel reinforcement. The sequencing follows a bottom up flow, which can be seen in figure 2. The slab replacement begins in Cross with the demolition of the existing floor slab 2. Floor 2 F/R/P then occurs and the shoring for floor slab 3 is immediately erected. The existing floor slab 3 is demolished, and once floor slab 2 has reached sufficient strength, floor slab 3 is poured. This process then repeats for floor slab 4. In total, the Cross restroom slabs take 39 days to complete. The Ewing restroom slabs follow the same sequencing, beginning approximately a week later and taking 49 days to complete. Each floor averages about 15 days for demolition, shoring, and F/R/P for the new slab. This work completes the major structural work that needs to occur for Ewing-Cross.



**Quaid Spearing** 

#### ENCLOSURE

The enclosure work begins towards the start of the project and is divided into 6-7 sequences each for Ewing and Cross. The majority of this work involves enclosing the stone panel projections and the roofing for the

gabled ends. Cross takes 87 days to enclose and Ewing takes 84 days, with Ewing starting about one week after Cross. The work flow for the large stone panel projections sequence is: wall panels  $\rightarrow$  roof trusses  $\rightarrow$  windows & shingles  $\rightarrow$  stone panels. Each large projection sequence takes about 22 days. The work flow for the small stone panel projections is: windows & shingles  $\rightarrow$  stone panels and this sequence takes approximately 10 days for each small projection. The enclosure work for Ewing follows the same work flow as Cross. The enclosure work flow can be seen in Appendix C: Construction Site Plans – Enclosure.

#### FRAMING AND ROUGH IN

Within both Ewing and Cross, there are four main areas for framing and rough in, as determined by the schedule: Floors 4/3, Floors 2/1, Restrooms, and Ground Floor (Mechanical Rooms). Looking at figure 3, framing and rough in begins on the ground floor of Cross. Although the interior work generally follows a top down sequencing, the ground floors were started earlier because they house primarily the mechanical and electrical equipment and take longer to complete than other floors. As each trade finishes their work on Cross ground floor, they move to Ewing ground floor. The framing, mechanical room fit out, and MEP rough in takes approximately 57 days for both Ewing and Cross, with Ewing finishing about one week after Cross. The upper floors, consisting of primarily bedrooms and sorority suites, follow a top down construction for framing and MEP rough in. Work begins on the fourth and third floors concurrently, and each trade moves to the second and first floors as they finish their work. Framing and rough in for each floor takes about 22 days, with Ewing and Cross on the same durations. The restroom framing and rough in begins after all the new restroom floor slabs have been poured. As

3

each trade finishes their work on the first floor, they begin the framing/rough in for the restrooms, with all four floors in Cross starting at the same time. The framing and rough in for each floor takes approximately 20 days. As each trade finishes the framing and rough in for Cross, they move to the Ewing restrooms.





#### FINISHES

Following the sequencing set by the framing and rough in, the finishes are again best understood by dividing each building into the three major areas of: ground floor (mechanical rooms), restrooms, and floors 1-4. The finish work includes: hanging and finishing drywall, MEP and equipment trim out, door installation, flooring, and final paint. The finishes for the Ewing and Cross ground floor takes approximately 46 days each. Finish work is about 56 days per floor for both Ewing and Cross, and the restroom finish work is roughly 25 days per floor. To simplify the detailed schedule, only the fourth floor for both Ewing and Cross was detailed with each rough in and finish activity. This is typical for the restroom schedule as well, because all four floors of restrooms are scheduled in parallel, with the same durations.

#### CLOSEOUT

As the construction comes to completion, each floor proceeds through typical punch list items and is closed out to be turned over to the owner. The building is scheduled to be turned over in phases, with the 4<sup>th</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, and 2<sup>nd</sup> floors being turned over early, to allow Penn State to begin moving in furniture and student items from Cooper – Hoyt, in anticipation of the next phase of construction. All testing and balancing occurs during the closeout, and Owner FF&E will also begin during this period. Final completion is scheduled to occur in January of 2014.

#### ASSEMBLIES ESTIMATE

		SF Estimat	e							
System	Cost \$	Cos	t \$/SF	Actual Cost	Actual	Cost \$/SF	SF Esti	mate Cost \$	Cost \$	\$/SF
Mechanical	\$ 1,428,451	\$ :	19.84	\$2,782,950	\$	38.65	\$	2,579,000	\$ 36	i.32
Electrical	\$ 1,150,490	\$ :	15.98	\$1,304,000	\$	18.11	\$	1,305,000	\$ 18	3.38
Plumbing	\$ 372,301	\$	5.17	-		-		-	-	
Total	\$ 2,951,242	\$ 4	40.99	\$4,086,950	\$	56.76	\$	3,884,000	\$ 54	.70

#### **Table 2: RSMeans MEP Assemblies Cost**

An MEP assemblies estimate was created utilizing RSMeans Costworks. The total MEP assemblies cost was found to be \$2,951,242 at \$40.99 per square foot. Compared to the actual systems cost of \$4,086,950 at \$56.76 per square foot, there is a \$1,135,708 difference. Upon further analysis, several factors were identified that could account to the difference in cost.

Looking at the electrical assemblies estimate, the actual electrical is \$153,500 more than the estimated assembly. The electrical assemblies estimate is fairly accurate because all the major feeders were taken off, and each panel board was accounted for. The small difference of approximately 12% can be attributed to the subcontractor markup.

Looking at the assemblies estimate compared to the square foot estimate costs found in technical report 1, the SF estimate is actually closer to the actual costs than the MEP assemblies estimate. The actual MEP cost is broken down into two main categories: mechanical/plumbing as one, and the electrical as the other; fire protection is not included within the mechanical/plumbing costs. The actual mechanical/plumbing system costs is \$982,200 more than the assemblies estimate. This is largely contributed to the fact that RS Means does not have an accurate assembly to represent the two energy recovery ventilation units; and there were no assemblies to properly account for the lineal feet of ductwork that accompanies the two ERV units. When taking the ERV units and ductwork into consideration, the difference between the estimated assemblies and the actual cost is justifiable.

\*See Appendix B-1 for a full estimate summary for the mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems

#### DETAILED STRUCTURAL ESTIMATE

#### **Table 3: Material Take off Summary**

System	Quantity
Concrete (CY)	375.1
Rebar (tons)	19.0
Steel Membrs (Tons)	7.3

A detailed structural estimate was performed to fully understand the structural system being utilized for Ewing-Cross. Due to the fact that a majority of the building's structure is existing to remain, it was difficult to pick a typical bay and extrapolate the quantities. Therefore, a majority of the takeoffs performed accounted for all of the structural system. The new structural work for Ewing-Cross consists of primarily cast-in-place concrete, with hollow structural sections steel. The stone panel wall projections structure was estimated, as well as the foundation and superstructure for the north and south porches. The projection footings and foundations, porch concrete slabs and steel were all accounted for individually as it was difficult to extrapolate one section as representative for the entire building. To calculate the restroom floor slabs, the second level slab in Ewing was used to represent all six slabs to be replaced. Quantities of materials were obtained by utilizing a combination of structural drawings and a Revit model of the building's structure. Costs from RSMeans CostWorks were then combined with the quantities to find an overall cost for the structural system. Projects for Penn State that have contract amounts in excess of \$25,000 are required to use prevailing wages for labor. For this reason, the RSMeans labor cost were adjusted accordingly to reflect prevailing wages and provide a more accurate detailed structural estimate.

#### \*See Appendix B-2 for the Detailed Structural Systems Estimate

#### FOOTINGS

This portion of the estimate included the concrete column footings, concrete piers, and the projection footings. There were four types of column footings which consisted of 3000psi concrete and Grade 60 reinforcing steel running full length in both the longitudinal and transversal directions. There are three different types of concrete piers at varying heights that also utilize 3000psi concrete and Grade 60 rebar, running full length horizontally and vertically. Also, there are four types of wall footings, varying in size, depending on the height and load of the foundation wall they support.



#### FOUNDATION WALLS

There are four types of foundation walls, ranging in thickness from 8" to 14" and of varying heights, depending upon the

Figure 4: Estimated Structure Quaid Spearing location. Similar to the footings, the foundation walls consist of 3000psi concrete and Grade 60 reinforcing steel. Each foundation wall was estimated because nearly each wall was unique, in respect to height and cubic yards of concrete. Figure 4 shows the components estimated in each bumpout.

#### CONCRETE BEAMS AND COLUMNS

The concrete beams and columns primarily support the south wrap around porch and consist of 3000psi concrete and Grade 60 rebar. There are two types of concrete columns, with a typical height of 13'-4"; the typical beam length is 8'-9". The porch structural components estimated can be seen in figure 5.



Figure 5: South Porch Estimated Structure | Quaid Spearing

#### CONCRETE SLABS

A large portion of the concrete estimate consists of the six identical restroom slabs (see figure 2), the elevated porch slab, and the slab on grade. The slabs consist of 4000psi concrete with Grade 60 reinforcing steel. In total there are 6 different thicknesses of slabs, ranging from 3 ¼" to 8". The concrete slabs account for \$133,000 of the concrete costs.

#### STEEL

The metals portion of the estimate includes the HSS steel columns and beams, the cold formed roof trusses, and the roof decking. Upon further inspection of the metals portion of the actual estimate, it was determined that the exterior metal railings for porches and walkways was included in the actual cost. Metal railings were included in the estimate to better reflect the project cost and accounted for

nearly \$100,000. The most common steel members are HSS 4x4x1/4 and 4x4x1/2 steel members, and the porch roof decking 2" 2C Conform deck and covers approximately 3700SF.

#### COST ANALYSIS

#### **Table 4: Detailed Structural System Cost Totals**

System	Estimated Cos	t	Actual Cost	SF	Estimate
Concrete	\$ 354,	376 \$	414,900	\$	1,787,900
Metals	\$ 299,	073 \$	413,950		-

Looking at Table 3, the detailed estimated costs more accurately reflect the actual cost than the original square foot estimate did. The SF estimate assumed that the entire building was new construction. In addition, the SF estimate only accounted for a concrete structure, with no structural steel members.

Comparing the detailed estimate to the actual costs, both the concrete and metals estimate are lower than the actual cost; ideally, a detailed estimate is within 5% of the actual cost. After looking at the detailed takeoff quantities, there are several factors that could contribute to the cost differences.

When the actual cost breakdown for concrete is analyzed, it is apparent that there are several items that were not included in the detailed estimate. Excavation and backfill were not included in the detailed estimate for concrete, and account for approximately \$46,000 of the actual concrete costs. Taking out the excavation costs would bring the actual costs down to \$368,900; the concrete detailed estimate would be within 5% of the actual costs. With all of this considered, the concrete estimate is believed to be reasonable.

In regards to the metals estimate, the difference can be accounted to various metals that were not included in the structural estimate. The actual metals package for Phase 1, primarily consists of a steel fabrication lump sum design assist proposal; this proposal not only includes the structural steel for the exterior porches, but also includes the porch railing, interior railing, staircase railing, and metal brackets. The porch metal railing was included to better reflect the actual metals cost; however, the other various non-structural metal was not included in the steel estimate, which would make up some of the deficit in the metals cost. Taking in account all of these factors, the estimate is believed to accurate within the limits.

#### SITE LAYOUT PLANNING

The site layout plans for the demolition, superstructure, and enclosure phases can be seen in Appendix C and should be referenced for a full understanding of the work involved during each stage of the project. Throughout the course of the project, several items remain in the same location; these include the field office in Redifer, the dumpsters, toilets, and material storage sheds.

#### DEMOLITION PHASE

The demolition phase includes all of the demolition and abatement necessary to ready the site and building for future phases. Dumpsters are located at both North entrance gates for easy pickup of trash and recyclables. Due to the tight site constraints and sloped site (in the north-south direction), one way traffic is not achievable.

Looking at the demolition plan, there are several major areas of demolition. The north exterior walkway and south wrap around porch are demoed from west to east, in preparation for the new foundation and superstructure. The enclosure at the large projections will be removed to allow for the new bumpouts to be erected. The restroom slabs will also be cut out, once the abatement work in this area is complete. The interior demolition follows a top down sequencing and includes removal of all FF&E (see figure 3 for sequencing of interior trades). As the demolition work is completed, the site and building are prepared for the superstructure phase.

#### SUPERSTRUCTURE PHASE

The site setup for the superstructure phase is very similar to the demolitions phase, with dumpsters remaining near the site entrance gates. This phase adds more equipment than the demolition phase and will require a higher level of coordination, with the exterior structure occurring simultaneously with the restroom slab structure. The exterior concrete and steel columns for the exterior porch and walkway follow the flow set by the demolition. A mobile truck crane is utilized for placement of members on the south side of Ewing-Cross, and a crawler crane is used on the north side. Material stockpiles for steel members are located within close proximity of the cranes. The restroom slabs begin during the superstructure phase, beginning with the second floor slabs, once shoring is in place. Ready mixed concrete is delivered to the site and pump directly into place, as seen on the superstructure plan.

#### **ENCLOSURE PHASE**

Following the superstructure phase, the enclosure phase consists of enclosing the four large projections that were removed in the demolition phase, and also installing the new façade for the small projections. Site traffic flow remains the same as the previous phases, and there are limestone panel material stockpiles located on the southwest and northeast side of the site. Mobile man lifts are utilized for the installation of the limestone panels; because the panels are lightweight, a crane is not necessary to lift them into place. Hydraulic scaffolding is also used for placement of limestone panels; the hydraulic scaffolding helps to reduce the time required to mobilize and demobilize that traditional scaffolding in the location that is immediately required. The sequencing of building enclosure does not follow a traditional flow, as observed in the enclosure plan. There are two main reasons for this: time constraints

and other site activities. Because the total project duration for Ewing-Cross is only seven months, many construction activities overlap, resulting in the enclosure sequencing bouncing around the site to avoid delaying other activities. Similar to the majority of other phases, the enclosure is divided between work occurring on Ewing and the work on Cross; the small projections are finished first, with large projections being completed shortly after. Once the limestone panel systems are installed on each sequence, the enclosure phase is complete.

#### \*See Appendix C for the Site Layout Plans

#### GENERAL CONDITIONS ESTIMATE

The South Halls Renovation was broken into three major phases, with Haller-Lyons and Ewing-Cross comprising Phase 1. As a result, the general conditions estimate was calculated for both buildings, with a total duration of twenty months. As can be seen in table 5, the general conditions estimate came to \$2,760,448 at \$138,022 per month. Included in the general conditions estimate are the: Staffing, Field Office, Quality and Testing, Insurance, Temporary Facilities and Utilities, Cleaning and Waste Management, and the Contingency. The pricing is a combination of actual cost data and RSMeans.

The Staffing costs include all of the Barton Malow employees on the project. The staffing plan created for Technical Assignment 1 includes (1) project executive, (1) project director, (1) senior project manager, (1) 1 senior project engineer, (1) project engineer, (1) senior superintendent, (2) superintendents, (1) intern, and (1) project technician. Staff durations were taken directly from the actual staffing plan. As can be seen in figure 6, the staffing costs account for the largest portion of the general conditions costs, due to the high level of supervision required to manage the project. The staffing costs estimated are slightly higher than the original actual costs, because the construction manager staffing is slightly larger than the one originally priced in the GMP contract.

The insurance makes up a sizeable portion of the general conditions at 19% of the total cost. This includes the Builder's Risk Insurance, Liability Insurance, and the Payment & Performance Bond. The insurance costs are based off the entire phase cost (\$28.8M).

The Cleaning and Waste Management costs are significant because of the level of recycling that Penn State requires in respect to construction waste. There are different dumpsters for the various recyclables produced from the construction process, and tipping fees significantly add up over the twenty month project period.

A unique aspect of the South Halls Project can be seen through the small cost of temporary facilities. Barton Malow's field office is located in a sectioned off corridor within Redifer Hall. There are no job trailers on site, as the design assist subcontractors are located in Redifer as well. As such, not having cost incurred for temporary job trailers is reflected in the general conditions estimate. The temporary utilities cost are also very low because Penn State has extensive utilities already in place that could be accessed for construction purposes.

There is a 2% construction contingency included to account for any unforeseen conditions that may occur. This is especially important with a design-build project that involves renovating a 50 year old building within a seven month time frame. Unforeseen conditions that could arise include existing underground utilities that were not correctly mapped on drawings, asbestos material, or differing site conditions.

Delays in work being completed or outside factors, such as new owner requests or redesign, would impact the project schedule. In turn, schedule growth would be reflected in the general conditions. Even a one month delay would increase the general conditions cost by nearly \$140,000. This does not include the implications of the project not finishing on time, such as liquidated damages and actual damages due to a delay in turning over the building to Penn State. If the project were to run over into the spring

2014 semester, the cost to temporarily house a few hundred students in hotel rooms would be significant.

#### \*See Appendix D for the full General Conditions Estimate

#### **Table 5: General Conditions Estimate Breakdown**

Total Cost	Co	st Per Month*
\$ 1,359,685	\$	67,984
\$ 75,425	\$	3,771
\$ 6,026	\$	301
\$ 530,528	\$	26,526
\$ 53,986	\$	2,699
\$ 152,098	\$	7,605
\$ 582,700	\$	29,135
\$ 2,760,448	\$	138,022
\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Total Cost           \$         1,359,685           \$         75,425           \$         6,026           \$         530,528           \$         530,986           \$         152,098           \$         582,700           \$         2,760,448	Total Cost         Cost           \$         1,359,685         \$           \$         75,425         \$           \$         6,026         \$           \$         530,528         \$           \$         533,986         \$           \$         152,098         \$           \$         582,700         \$

\*Based on a 20 month duration project



Figure 6: General Conditions Breakdown by Percentage | Quaid Spearing

#### CONSTRUCTABILITY CHALLENGES

There are several constructability challenges that are of concern during the renovation of Ewing-Cross. Most of the constructability issues that occur on Ewing-Cross also occurred during the renovation of Haller-Lyons, so there is a learning curve that was achieved through the repetition of similar buildings. After discussion with the Barton Malow project team, it was determined that the MEP coordination, site constraints/location, and the floor slabs were the three main constructability challenges.

#### MEP COORDINATION

Since Ewing-Cross is a renovation project, there were extensive utilities, equipment, and electrical conduit already in place. Initially, the project team wanted to salvage and reuse as much of the conduit as possible. Barton Malow made use of a 3D scanner to try and map the conduit in the ground floor mechanical/electrical rooms, which should have allowed them to coordinate the existing conduit with new conduit and other MEP systems. However, there were still coordination issues amongst new and existing MEP runs, which resulted in eventually removing the existing conduit altogether.

MEP coordination was also a challenge on the upper floors, for student rooms and corridors. At 7' - 4 ½", the floor to ceiling heights are extremely low, by today's standards. During the initial feasibility study phase for South Halls, it was believed that energy recovery would not be possible because the low floor to ceiling heights would not allow for outside air to be supplied to student rooms. Clark Nexsen resolved this by using wide, shallow ductwork for the duct runs to student rooms. In addition, the main ductwork chases were fed through the large stone panel projections. The ductwork, along with the fire protection and electrical runs are hidden in the bulkheads, which can be seen in figures 7 & 8 below. Having a large amount of bulkheads creates a challenge, with respect to drywall finishing because bulkheads are more labor intensive than a typical wall; there becomes an intricate link between the MEP rough in and bulkhead work.



Figure 7: MEP coordination and bulkhead in typical corridor | Quaid Spearing



Figure 8: Typical Bulkhead and MEP in student rooms | Drawing AE322

#### SITE CONSTRAINTS/LOCATION

The second challenge for the Ewing-Cross renovation involves the site constraints and location. By State College standards, the South Halls site is very tight, with neighboring buildings in close proximity. With housing on Penn State's campus reaching maximum capacity each semester, there was no room for all of South Halls to be unoccupied during the entire project. Therefore, the project was phased so that three of the four dormitories could be occupied, with the fourth under construction. As observed in figure 9, Redifer Commons, Cooper-Hoyt, and Young Hall enclose the Ewing-Cross site on the West, South, and East respectively.

With students occupying Cooper – Hoyt to the south of Ewing-Cross and a main pedestrian thoroughfare to Redifer along the south of the site, maintaining site security and safe pedestrian walkways is crucial through all phases of the project. Along with the safety of students, there is also a strict construction start time of 8:00 AM, which is typical of projects on Penn State's main campus. This means that no exterior or particularly noisy construction can begin before 8:00 AM. This ensures that disruption to the student residents near construction is minimized. This can prove to be a challenge is terms of scheduling, as most contractors typically prefer to start at 7:00 AM or even earlier. Barton Malow overcame the time constraint by scheduling noisier work to start later in the morning and mainly interior or prep work occurring before 8:00 AM.

There are significant traffic concerns during construction, with daily deliveries to the various restaurants in Redifer; with many of these deliveries occurring on the North side of the site, sometimes blocking the site entrance gate. This means that deliveries for construction materials not only need to be coordinated among trades, but also with the surrounding facilities deliveries. There are also truck wash racks as both North entrances to minimize the dirt and mud tracked onto the local roads; a truck wash station is not needed at the East entrance gate because it leads onto a paved site road.



Figure 9: 3D Site Location and Surrounding Buildings | Bing Maps

#### EXISTING FLOOR SLABS

The third challenge that the project team faced during the renovation of Ewing-Cross was the existing floor slabs. There was a delamination of the floor slabs in the restrooms, meaning that the concrete began to separate away from the reinforcing bars. Barton Malow determined that the best solution was to cut out the restroom slabs. Portions of the existing rebar were left exposed to tie into the new slab and overlap with new reinforcing bar (see figure 10). Tying in new structure to existing structure can be challenging because proper load distribution has to be achieved. Due to the restroom slabs needing replaced, the entire schedule for the restroom was effectively put on its own critical path.

During the demolition of the second floor, it was determined that there was significant separation of the topping slab and aggregate, resulting in a rough uneven slab surface. To remedy this, the project team grinded down the floor slabs and placed a topping slab to provide a smooth enough finish for the laminate vinyl tile.



Figure 10: Typical New to Existing Slab at Bathroom | Drawing SF 503

#### BUILDING INFORMATION MODELING USE EVALUATION

Building Information Modeling (BIM) is being heavily implemented for the South Halls Renovation Project. Clark Nexsen built models, including: architecture, structure, mechanical, electrical, and plumbing models. The models were used to create the 2D drawings utilized in the field. The models and drawings are constantly updated and shared via Dropbox, ensuring that the entire project team has the most up-to-date information. The 3D models and electronic file sharing also allows for the project team to reduce the amount of paper drawings needed on site, because each Superintendent has the latest information on his tablet.

X	PLAN	X	DESIGN	X	CONSTRUCT	Х	OPERATE
	PROGRAMMING	X	DESIGN AUTHORING		SITE UTILIZATION PLANNING		BUILDING MAINTENANCE SCHEDULING
	SITE ANALYSIS	Х	DESIGN REVIEWS		CONSTRUCTION SYSTEM DESIGN		BUILDING SYSTEM ANALYSIS
		Х	3D COORDINATION	Х	3D COORDINATION	Х	ASSET MANAGEMENT
			STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS		DIGITAL FABRICATION	Х	SPACE MANAGEMENT / TRACKING
			LIGHTING ANALYSIS	Х	3D CONTROL AND PLANNING		DISASTER PLANNING
			ENERGY ANALYSIS	Х	RECORD MODELING	х	RECORD MODELING
			MECHANICAL ANALYSIS				
			OTHER ENG. ANALYSIS				
		Х	SUSTAINABLITY (LEED) EVALUATION				
			CODE VALIDATION				
	PHASE PLANNING (4D MODELING)		PHASE PLANNING (4D MODELING)		PHASE PLANNING (4D MODELING)		PHASE PLANNING (4D MODELING)
	COST ESTIMATION		COST ESTIMATION		COST ESTIMATION		COST ESTIMATION
	EXISTING CONDITIONS MODELING	Х	EXISTING CONDITIONS MODELING	Х	EXISTING CONDITIONS MODELING	Х	EXISTING CONDITIONS MODELING

#### Table 6: Actual BIM Use List | Courtesy of Barton Malow

Table 6 shows the actual BIM uses for the South Halls project. Being that this is a Penn State project, Barton Malow and Clark Nexsen employed the Penn State approach for BIM execution planning. The black X's indicate the actual BIM uses. It can be seen that there are a wide variety of BIM uses actually employed. Models from the design phase were directly transferred to Barton Malow for the construction phase, and these models are planned to be turned over to Penn State at the project's completion. Penn State will then utilize the models to add in any facility management info they deem necessary.

There is also a heavy focus on 3D coordination and modeling of the existing conditions. The 3D coordination focused on the mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems. 3D MEP coordination was especially crucial with the low floor to floor heights, because there is little room for error in the layout of these systems. Modeling the existing conditions is essential, considering how much of the structure will remain. In the structural model, the existing structure is shaded a lighter gray, to differentiate what part of the structure will be new.

X	PLAN	X	DESIGN	X	CONSTRUCT	Χ	OPERATE
	PROGRAMMING	Х	DESIGN AUTHORING		SITE UTILIZATION PLANNING		BUILDING MAINTENANCE SCHEDULING
	SITE ANALYSIS	Х	DESIGN REVIEWS		CONSTRUCTION SYSTEM DESIGN		BUILDING SYSTEM ANALYSIS
		Х	3D COORDINATION	Х	3D COORDINATION	Х	ASSET MANAGEMENT
			STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS		DIGITAL FABRICATION	Х	SPACE MANAGEMENT / TRACKING
			LIGHTING ANALYSIS	Х	3D CONTROL AND PLANNING		DISASTER PLANNING
			ENERGY ANALYSIS	Х	RECORD MODELING	х	RECORD MODELING
			MECHANICAL ANALYSIS				
			OTHER ENG. ANALYSIS				
		Х	SUSTAINABLITY (LEED) EVALUATION				
			CODE VALIDATION				
	PHASE PLANNING (4D MODELING)	Х	PHASE PLANNING (4D MODELING)	Х	PHASE PLANNING (4D MODELING)		PHASE PLANNING (4D MODELING)
	COST ESTIMATION	Х	COST ESTIMATION	X	COST ESTIMATION		COST ESTIMATION
	EXISTING CONDITIONS MODELING	Х	EXISTING CONDITIONS MODELING	Х	EXISTING CONDITIONS MODELING	Х	EXISTING CONDITIONS MODELING

#### Table 7: Proposed BIM Use List | Quaid Spearing

In addition to the actual BIM uses, Table 7 shows the proposed BIM use plan. The Red X's identify suggestions for other BIM uses, which include Phase Planning (4D modeling) and Cost Estimation. 4D modeling could prove to be beneficial because of the project's short construction duration of seven months. A 4D model for a typical student room, detailing the sequencing and duration of interior trades, could be used to help reduce schedule delays and better understand the interconnectivity among trades. As there are numerous activities occurring simultaneously, a 4D model could also be useful in planning out the various exterior trade work and material laydown, especially for the bumpout enclosures.

BIM could also be used for cost estimating purposes. Normally, it is difficult to put trust in the fact that the architect, or model creator, accurately modeled and dimensioned all the components. However, the 2D drawings were directly created from the 3D models. In addition, the project delivery method (Design-Build/IPD-like) lends itself to create a joint vested interest between Clark Nexsen and Barton Malow. If the models are accurately labeled, quantities could be derived directly from the 3D models, cutting down on the time invested in estimating, and budget tracking.

#### CRITICAL EVALUATION

When compared to other projects which employ BIM, the South Halls Renovation Project makes good use of BIM. The implementation of BIM has attributed to the success of the South Halls renovation project thus far. BIM was utilized from early during the design phase to assist in many aspects of the project. It would be very difficult to deliver a 70,000SF renovation in seven months without proper planning and coordination. The project team saw the value in implementing a large amount of BIM; having a sophisticated owner, like Penn State, is also invaluable because they can see the benefits that BIM can provide to a project and they push the contractors they employ to make use of it.

Another aspect that has played into the successful implementation of BIM at South Halls is co-location. Normally, there would be weekly coordination meetings, and any issues that arise may have to wait for several days. Having the construction manager and key subcontractors co-located allows for any issues that may arise to be immediately resolved.

Overall, BIM is implemented appropriately at South Halls. The use at South Halls is a good example of how BIM can be incorporated into a Design-Build project. The key to any successful project is ensuring that the end user is kept in mind. The BIM use process that Barton Malow has chosen will benefit Penn State by providing a record model. The use of 3D coordination is also crucial for clash detection, especially with the existing and unknown conditions that can arise with a renovation project. There is potential room for improvement, and a good starting point would be the employment of phase planning and cost estimation using the model.

#### \*See Appendix E for the BIM Level 1 Process Map

## APPENDIX A: DETAILED PROJECT SCHEDULE

Quaid Spearing, Construction M	lanagement					Ew	ing Cross Detaile	ed Schedule					Octobe	er 16th, 2013
Activity ID	Activity Name	Original	Start	Finish	2011		2011 2012				2013			
		Duration			Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3
📄 E.C Design and F	Procurement	512	31-May-11	31-May-13									3	1-May-13, E,C
📲 E.C.1 Design Ph	lase	298	31-May-11	30-Jul-12						30-Jul-12, E.C.1 De	sign Phase			
📟 A1000	Schematic Design	36	31-May-11	20-Jul-11		Schematic Design								
🚃 A1010	Design Development	87	21-Jul-11	21-Nov-11			Desig	n Development						
🚃 A1020	Construction Documents	175	22-Nov-11	30-Jul-12						Construction Docum	ents			
n E.C.2 Procurem	ent	401	04-Nov-11	31-May-13									▼ 3	1-May-13, E.C
📟 A1030	Issue DA Letters of Intent	12	04-Nov-11	21-Nov-11			Issue	DA Letters of Intent						
🚃 A1040	Finalize GMP Contract	0		16-Mar-12				♦ F	inalize GMP Contract,					
🚃 A1050	Building Permit Obtained	0		27-Apr-12					<ul> <li>Building Permit</li> </ul>	t Obtained,				
🚃 A1060	Notice to Proceed	0		01-May-12					<ul> <li>Notice to Proc</li> </ul>	ceed,				
🚃 A1070	P1A Construction	265	17-May-12	31-May-13									P	1A Constructio

Actual Level of Effort	Remaining Work	•	♦ Milestone	Page 1 of 1	Project Schedule	Penn State
		•				

University Ewing Cross Renovation

Spearing, Construction	Management		• · · · ·	Ewing Cross Detail	led Schedule	
D	Activity Name	Original Start	Finish		2013	
		Duration		Q2	Q3	
C Ewing-Cros	s Detailed Schedule	178 17-May-13	28-Jan-14			
EC.3 Construc	tion	134 17-May-13	25-Nov-13	▼		
EC.3.1 Site Wor	k	126 20-May-13	14-Nov-13			
a A1080	Connect Chilled Water	3 24-May-13	29-May-13	Connect Chilled Water		
🚃 A1090	Grade Site	15 19-Sep-13	09-Oct-13			Grade Site
A1100	Seed/Sod	5 01-Nov-13	07-Nov-13			
EC.3.1.1 North	Sidewalk and Mtg Room	116 20-May-13	31-Oct-13	▼		
🚃 A1110	Demo Footings	5 20-May-13	24-May-13	Demo Footings		
🚃 A1120	Excavate and Pour Footers	10 28-May-13	10-Jun-13	Excavate and Pour Footers	•	
🚃 A1130	F/R/P Slab Footers	10 03-Jun-13	14-Jun-13	F/R/P Slab Footers		
🚃 A1140	Erect Steel Columns	6 17-Jun-13	24-Jun-13	Erect Steel Colur	nns	
🚃 A1150	Install Beams and Deck	5 25-Jun-13	01-Jul-13	Install Bean	ns and Deck	
a A1160	Install Storefront	17 02-Jul-13	25-Jul-13		Install Storefront	
A1170	F/R/P Slab	10 10-Jul-13	23-Jul-13		F/R/P Slab	
A1180	Erect Masonry	16 24-Jul-13	14-Aug-13		Erect Masonrv	
A1190	Meeting Room Roof	10 26-Jul-13	08-Aug-13		Meeting Room Roo	f
A1200	Install Cornice	15 28-Aua-13	18-Sep-13			Install Cornice
A1210	Install Framing and Soffit	10 19-Sep-13	02-Oct-13			Install Framing and So
= A1220	Install Porch Lighting	3 03-Oct-13	07-Oct-13			
= A1230	Finish Sitework	16 10-Oct-13	31-Oct-13			
EC 312 South	Wran Around Porch and M	122 24-May-13	14-Nov-13	<b>V</b>		
A1240	Demo Footings	5 24-May-13	31-May-13	Demo Footings		
A1250	Excavate and Pour Footers	12 31-May-13	17-Jun-13	Excavate and Pour Fo	ooters	
= A1260	E/R/P Wrap Around Porch	15 18-Jun-13	09lul-13		P Wrap Around Porch	
A1270	Frect Steel Columns	6 10-Jul-13	17-Jul-13		Frect Steel Columns	
= A1280	Install Beams and Deck	7 18-Jul-13	26-Jul-13		Install Beams and Deck	
Δ1290	Install Storefront	15 10- Jul-13	30- Jul-13			
A1200	E/R/P Ewing Stairs	20 10- Jul-13	06-Aug-13		E/R/P Ewing Stairs	
A1310	Freet Masonry	20 10-501-13	28-Aug-13			t Masonny
A1320	Meeting Room Roof	10 12-Aug-13	20-Aug-13			Room Roof
A1325	E/D/D Site Stairs	0 20 Aug 13	23-Aug-13			
A1323		9 29-Aug-13	11-Sep-13			
A1330		15 15 Oct 12	14-00-13 04 Nov 12			
A1340		10 10-UCI-13	11 Nov 12			
A1350		5 U5-INOV-13	11-INUV-13			
A1360		0 U7-NOV-13	14-INOV-13		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
EC.3.2 Cross		125 17-May-13	12-INOV-13		Abatamant	
$= \Delta 1370$	Abate 4 & 3	0 24-Way-13	06-Jun-13	✓ 19-Juli-13, EC.3.2.1	Audiemeni	
Δ1320	Δhate 2 & 1	0 07 lup 12	10-Jun-12			
EC 3.2.2 Domo		19 07-Jun 13			EC 3 2 2 Demolition	
A1390	Demo 4 & 3	10 07-Jun-13	20-Jun-13			
A1400	Demo 2 & 1	10 20-Jun-13	03-10-13	Demo 2 &	.1	
FC 3 2 3 Restr	oom Structure	38 28-May-13	19-Jul-13		V 19-Jul-13 FC 3 2 3 Restroom Str	ucture
A1410	Demo Slab L 2	5 28-May-13	03-Jun-13	Demo Slab I 2		
A1420	F/R/P Slab I 2	5 04lun-13	10-Jun-13	F/R/P Slah I 2		
Δ1430	Frect Shoring 1.2 to 1.3	4 11_ lun_13	14-Jun-13	Frent Shoring   2 to   3		
		5 17 lun 19	21_ lun_12			
A 1440		5 17-Jun-13	2 1-Juil-13			

		October 16th	h, 2013
		2014	
Q4		Q1	28- Jan-1
		•	20-Jan- 1
▼ 25-N	ov-13, EC.3 Constru	iction	
🔻 14-Nov-13, E	C.3.1 Site Work		
eed/Sod			
-13, EC.3.1.1 No	rth Sidewalk and Mt	g Room	
			L
Sitework			
14 Nov 13 E	C 3 1 2 South Wran	Around Porch and Mta	Boom
▼ 14-INOV-13, E	C.S.T.Z SOULT WTAP	Around Porch and Mig	RUUIII
all Framing and S	offit		
Install Porch Lig	hting		
Finish Sitewo	k		
12-Nov-13, EC	.3.2 Cross		
·····			
	wing Cross Renovat	ion	
Criversity E		1011	

Quaid S	Spear	ing, Construction I	Management			Ewing Cross Detailed Schedule											
Activity ID	)		Activity Name	Original Start	Finish		2013										
				Duration		Q2	Q3										
	6	A1450	F/R/P Slab L3	5 24-Jun-13	28-Jun-13		F/R/P Slab L3										
		A1460	Erect Shoring L3 to L4	4 01-Jul-13	05-Jul-13		Erect Shoring L3 to L4	1 1 1									
		a A1470	Demo Slab L4	5 08-Jul-13	12-Jul-13		Demo Slab L4										
		a A1480	F/R/P Slab L4	5 15-Jul-13	19-Jul-13		F/R/P \$lab L4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
		EC.3.2.4 Enclos	ure	84 17-May-13	16-Sep-13	<b>▼</b>	▼ 16-Sep-1	3, EC.3.2.4 Enclosure									
		📷 A1490	Erect Wall Panels	19 17-May-13	13-Jun-13	Erect Wal	Panels										
		🚃 A1500	Install Roof Trusses	16 20-May-13	11-Jun-13	Install Roof	Trusses										
		a A1510	Install Windows	25 24-May-13	28-Jun-13		Install Windows										
		A1520	Install Shingles	28 24-May-13	03-Jul-13		Install Shingles	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
		A1530	Erect Stone Panels	25 03-Jun-13	08-Jul-13		Erect Stone Panels										
		a A1540	Install Rain Leaders	13 18-Jul-13	05-Aug-13		Install Rain Leaders										
		A1550	Install Gutters & Downspou	11 30-Aug-13	16-Sep-13		Install Gu	tters & Downspouts									
	1	EC.3.2.5 Rough	In and Finishes	120 24-May-13	12-Nov-13	▼											
		EC.3.2.5.1 Ro	ooms and Corridors	120 24-May-13	12-Nov-13	<b>V</b>	· · · · · · ·										
		EC.3.2.5.1.	1 Level 4	73 21-Jun-13	03-Oct-13	<b>▼</b>		▼ 03-Oct-13, EC.3.2.5.1.1									
		🚃 A1560	L4 Layout and Top Track	5 21-Jun-13	27-Jun-13		L4 Layout and Top Track										
		🚃 A1570	L4 Install Framing	10 28-Jun-13	12-Jul-13		L4 Install Framing										
		🚃 A1580	L4 MEP Coring	5 26-Jun-13	02-Jul-13		L4 MEP Coring										
		🚃 A1590	L4 Ductwork Rough In	5 01-Jul-13	08-Jul-13		L4 Ductwork Rough In	1									
		🚃 A1600	L4 Sprinkler Rough In	3 08-Jul-13	10-Jul-13		L4 Sprinkler Rough In										
		🚃 A1610	L4 Electrical Rough In	5 11-Jul-13	17-Jul-13		L4 Electrical Rough In										
		🚃 A1620	L4 Install Hydronic Pipe	5 12-Jul-13	18-Jul-13		L4 Install Hydronic Pipe										
		🚃 A1630	L4 Plumbing Rough In	5 15-Jul-13	19-Jul-13		L4 Plumbing Rough In										
		🚃 A1640	L4 Ceiling/Bulkhead Framir	7 18-Jul-13	26-Jul-13		L4 Ceiling/Bulkhead Framing										
		🚃 A1650	L4 Install Telecomm	5 19-Jul-13	25-Jul-13		L4 Install Telecomm										
		🚃 A1660	L4 Hang & Finish Drywall	19 26-Jul-13	21-Aug-13		L4 Hang & Finish Drywall										
		🚃 A1670	L4 MEP & Equipment Trimo	7 22-Aug-13	30-Aug-13	_	L4 MEP & Equipment	Trimout									
		🚃 A1680	L4 Install Doors & Hardwar	3 28-Aug-13	30-Aug-13		L4 Install Doors & Har	dware									
		🚃 A1690	L4 Install Flooring	7 04-Sep-13	12-Sep-13		L4 Install Flo	oring									
		A1700	L4 Final Paint and Punchlis	8 24-Sep-13	03-Oct-13	-		L4 Final Paint and Punchl									
		<b>EC.3.2.5.1</b> .2	2 Level 3	73 21-Jun-13	03-Oct-13		i i i	▼ 03-Oct-13, EC.3.2.5.1.2									
		EC.3.2.5.1.	3 Level 2	67 05-Jul-13	08-Oct-13		<b>V</b>	08-Oct-13, EC.3.2.5.1									
		🚃 A1710	L2 Layout and Top Track	5 05-Jul-13	11-Jul-13		L2 Layout and Top Track										
		🚃 A1720	L2 Install Framing	10 12-Jul-13	25-Jul-13		L2 Install Framing	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
		🚃 A1730	L2 MEP Coring	5 10-Jul-13	16-Jul-13		L2 MEP Coring										
		🚃 A1740	L2 Ductwork Rough In	5 15-Jul-13	19-Jul-13		L2 Ductwork Rough In										
		🚃 A1750	L2 Sprinkler Rough In	3 19-Jul-13	23-Jul-13		L2 Sprinkler Rough In										
		🚃 A1760	L2 Electrical Rough In	5 24-Jul-13	30-Jul-13		L2 Electrical Rough In										
		🚃 A1770	L2 Install Hydronic Pipe	5 25-Jul-13	31-Jul-13		L2 Install Hydronic Pipe										
		🚃 A1780	L2 Plumbing Rough In	5 26-Jul-13	01-Aug-13		L2 Plumbing Rough In										
		🚃 A1790	L2 Ceiling/Bulkhead Framir	7 31-Jul-13	08-Aug-13		L2 Ceiling/Bulkhead Framing										
		🚃 A1800	L2 Install Telecomm	5 01-Aug-13	07-Aug-13		L2 Install Telecomm										
		🚃 A1810	L2 Hang & Finish Drywall	19 08-Aug-13	04-Sep-13		L2 Hang & Finish	Drywall									
		🚃 A1820	L2 MEP & Equipment Trim	7 05-Sep-13	13-Sep-13		L2 MEP & E	quipment Trimout									
		A1830	L2 Install Doors & Hardwar	3 11-Sep-13	13-Sep-13		L2 Install D	oors & Hardware									
		A1840	L2 Install Flooring	7 18-Sep-13	26-Sep-13			2 Install Flooring									
		A1850	L2 Final Paint and Punchlis	7 30-Sep-13	08-Oct-13			L2 Final Paint and Pu									
		EC.3.2.5.1	4 Level 1	91 05-Jul-13	11-Nov-13												
	- <b>-</b>					1	· · · · ·	1									
	Ac	tual Level of Effort	Remaining Work	◆ ◆ Mile	estone		Page 2 of 5 Pro	ject Schedule Penn Sta									
	Ac	tual Work	Critical Remaining	g Work sur	nmary												

		October 16th	n, 2013
04		2014	
Q4	1	QI	
12-Nov-13,	EC.3.2.5 Rough In and	Finishes	
/ 12-Nov-13, /el 4	EC.3.2.5.1 Rooms and	Corridors	
vel 3 Level 2			
nlist 11-Nov-13, E	C.3.2.5.1.4 Level 1		
University	Ewing Cross Renovat	ion	

opourin	ig, construction i	vianagement					Ewing cross Detailed Cone	200	
ity ID		Activity Name	Original	Start	Finish			2013	1
			Duration			Q2		Q3	
	EC.3.2.5.1.	5 Ground	120	24-May-13	12-Nov-13				
	A1000	G Layout and Top Track	C 00	24-Iviay-13	31-IVIAy-13	GLayout a			1 I 1 I 1 I
	A1865	Mech Room Fitout	60	30-May-13	22-Aug-13		2 Durtus III Daugh In		
	A1866		10	03-Jun-13	14-Jun-13				
	A1870	G Install Framing	12	13-Jun-13	28-Jun-13		G Install Framing		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	a A1880	G Install Hydronic Pipe	5	20-Jun-13	26-Jun-13				1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
	🚃 A1890	G Instal Telecomm	5	27-Jun-13	03-Jul-13		G Instal Telecomm		
	🚃 A1900	G Sprinkler Rough In	20	01-Jul-13	29-Jul-13			G Sprinkler Rough In	
	🚃 A1910	G Electrical Rough In	20	01-Jul-13	29-Jul-13			G Electrical Rough In	
	🚃 A1920	G Plumbing Rough In	30	01-Jul-13	12-Aug-13			G Plumbing Rough In	1 1 1 1
	🚃 A1930	G Pipe/Duct Insulation	10	13-Aug-13	26-Aug-13			G Pipe/Duct Insulation	
	🚃 A1940	G Ceiling/Bulkhead Framing	9	27-Aug-13	09-Sep-13			G Ceiling/Bulk	chead Framing
	🚃 A1950	G Hang & Finish Drywall	20	10-Sep-13	07-Oct-13				G Hang & Finish Dry
	🚃 A1960	G MEP & Equipment Trimo	9	08-Oct-13	18-Oct-13				G MEP & E
	🚃 A1970	G Install Doors & Hardware	5	14-Oct-13	18-Oct-13				G Install Do
	🚃 A1980	G Install Flooring	10	21-Oct-13	01-Nov-13				
	🚃 A1990	G Final Paint and Punchlist	7	04-Nov-13	12-Nov-13				
Ę	EC.3.2.5.2 Re	strooms	49	01-Aug-13	09-Oct-13			<b>V</b>	09-Oct-13, EC.3.2
	EC.3.2.5.2.	1 Level 4	49	01-Aug-13	09-Oct-13			¥	09-Oct-13, EC.3.2
	🚃 A2000	L4 Framing	5	01-Aug-13	07-Aug-13			L4 Framing	
	🚃 A2010	L4 Ductwork Rough In	15	08-Aug-13	28-Aug-13			L4 Ductwork Rough In	1 1 1 1
	🚃 A2020	L4 Sprinkler Rough In	15	08-Aug-13	28-Aug-13			L4 Sprinkler Rough In	
	🚃 A2030	L4 Electrical Rough In	15	08-Aug-13	28-Aug-13			L4 Electrical Rough In	
	🚃 A2040	L4 Plumbing Rough In	15	08-Aug-13	28-Aug-13			L4 Plumbing Rough In	
	a A2050	L4 Hang & Finish Drywall	9	29-Aug-13	11-Sep-13			L4 Hang & F	inish Drywall
	A2060	L4 Install Ceramic Tile	15	12-Sep-13	02-Oct-13				L4 Install Ceramic Tile
	A2070	L4 MEP & Equipment Trime	5	03-Oct-13	09-Oct-13				L4 MEP & Equipme
	EC 3252	2 Level 3	49	01-Aug-13	09-Oct-13			V	09-Oct-13 EC 3 2
	EC.3.2.5.2.	3 Level 2	49	01-Aug-13	09-Oct-13				09-Oct-13, EC.3.2
	EC.3.2.5.2.4	4 Level 1	49	01-Aug-13	09-Oct-13			V	09-Oct-13, EC 3.2
EC	.3.3 Ewing		131	22-May-13	25-Nov-13	V			
	EC.3.3.1 Abaten	nent	18	24-May-13	19-Jun-13		▼ 19-Jun-13, EC.3.3.1 Abatem	lent	1 1 1 1 1 1
	A2080	Abate 4 & 3	9	24-May-13	06-Jun-13	Abate	4 & 3		
	A2090	Abate 2 & 1	9	07-Jun-13	19-Jun-13		Abate 2 & 1		1 1 1 1 1 1
	EC.3.3.2 Demol	tion	18	07-Jun-13	02-Jul-13	·	02-Jul-13, EC.3.3.2	2 Demolition	
	A2100	Demo 4 & 3	9	07-Jun-13	19-Jun-13		Demo 4 & 3		
	A2110	Demo 2 & 1	9	20-Jun-13	02-Jul-13		Demo 2 & 1		
	EC.3.3.3 Restro	om Structure	48	07-Jun-13	14-Aug-13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		▼ 14-Aug-13, EC.3.3.3 Restroom S	Structure
	A2115	Erect Shoring L1 to L2	10	07-Jun-13	20-Jun-13		Erect Shoring L1 to L2		
	A2120	Demo Slab L2	5	21-Jun-13	27-Jun-13		Demo Slab L2		
	A2130	F/R/P Slab L2	5	28-Jun-13	05-Jul-13		F/R/P Slab L2		
	A2140	Erect Shoring L2 to L3	4	08-Jul-13	11-Jul-13		Erect Shorin	g L2 to L3	
	A2150	Demo Slab L3	5	12-Jul-13	18-Jul-13		Demo	Slab L3	1 1 1 1 1 1
	A2160	F/R/P Slab L3	5	19-Jul-13	25-Jul-13		• •••••	/R/P Slab L3	
	A2170	Erect Shoring 1 3 to 1 4	4	26-Jul-13	31-Jul-13			Erect Shoring L3 to 1 4	
	A2180	Demo Slab I 4	5	01-Aug-13	07-Aug-13			Demo Slab I 4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	A2190	E/R/P Slab I 4	5	08-Aur-13	14-Aur-13				
			01	22 May 12	16 Sop 12				13 EC 334 Endoquiro
	20.3.3.4 Elicios	ure	01	22-101ay-13	10-Sep-13			To-Sep-	13, EC.3.3.4 ENCOSULE

	October 16th, 2013
	2014
Q4	Q1
7 12-Nov-13, EC.3.2.5.1.5 Ground	
ient Trimout Hardware	
all Flooring G Final Paint and Punchlist Restrooms Level 4	
imout Level 3 Level 2 Level 1	
▼ 25-Nov-13, EC.3.3 Ewing	9
University Ewing Cross Renovat	tion

Quaid S	Spearing, Construction N	<i>M</i> anagement			Ewing Cross Detailed Schedule		October 16th, 2013				
Activity ID		Activity Name	Original Start	Finish	2013		2014				
			Duration		Q2 Q3	Q4	Q1				
	🚃 A2200	Erect Wall Panels	21 22-May-13	20-Jun-13	Erect Wall Panels						
	🚃 A2210	Install Roof Trusses	14 24-May-13	13-Jun-13	Install Roof Trusses						
	🚃 A2220	Install Windows	25 24-May-13	28-Jun-13	Install Windows						
	a A2230	Install Shingles	28 24-May-13	03-Jul-13	Install Shingles						
	a A2240	Erect Stone Panels	25 03-Jun-13	08-Jul-13	Erect Stone Panels						
	a A2260	Install Gutters & Downspou	11 30-Aug-13	16-Sep-13	Install	Gutters & Downspouts					
	EC.3.3.5 Rough	In and Finishes	120 07-Jun-13	25-Nov-13	<b>V</b>	▼ 25-Nov-13, EC.3.3.5 Ro	ugh In and Finishes				
	EC.3.3.5.1 Ro	oms and Corridors	120 07-Jun-13	25-Nov-13	V	▼ 25-Nov-13, EC.3.3.5.1 F	{ooms and Corridors				
	EC.3.3.5.1.1	Level 4	73 20-Jun-13	02-Oct-13		02-Oct-13, EC.3.3.5, 1.1 Level 4					
	🚃 A2270	L4 Layout and Top Track	5 20-Jun-13	26-Jun-13	L4 Layout and Top Track						
	🚃 A2280	L4 Install Framing	10 27-Jun-13	11-Jul-13	L4 Install Framing						
	🔤 A2290	L4 MEP Coring	5 25-Jun-13	01-Jul-13	L4 MEP Coring						
	🚃 A2300	L4 Ductwork Rough In	5 28-Jun-13	05-Jul-13	L4 Ductwork Rough In		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	a A2310	L4 Sprinkler Rough In	3 05-Jul-13	09-Jul-13	L4 Sprinkler Rough In						
	A2320	L4 Electrical Rough In	5 10-Jul-13	16-Jul-13	L4 Electrical Rough In						
	A2330	L4 Install Hydronic Pipe	5 11-Jul-13	17-Jul-13	L4 Install Hydronic Pipe						
	A2340	L4 Plumbing Rough In	5 12-Jul-13	18-Jul-13	L4 Plumbing Rough In						
	= A2350	1 4 Ceiling/Bulkhead Framir	7 17-Jul-13	25-Jul-13	1 4 Ceiling/Bulkhead Framing						
	= A2360	I 4 Install Telecomm	5 18-Jul-13	24-Jul-13							
		L4 Hang & Finish Drywall	10 25- Jul-13	20-Aug-13							
	A2370	L4 MED & Equipment Trim	7 21 Aug 12	20-Aug-13		at Trimout					
	A2300		7 21-Aug-13	29-Aug-13		arthuara					
	A2390		3 27-Aug-13	29-Aug-13							
	A2400		7 03-Sep-13	11-Sep-13							
	A2410	L4 Final Paint and Punchils	8 23-Sep-13	02-Oct-13							
	EC.3.3.5.1.2	2 Level 3	75 20-Jun-13	04-Oct-13							
	EC.3.3.5.1.3	Level 2	71 03-Jul-13	11-Oct-13	12 Loyout and Ton Track	▼ 11-Oct-13, E0.3.3.5.1.3 Level 2					
	A2420		10 11 Jul 12	10-Jul-13							
	A2430		10 11-Jul-13	24-Jul-13							
	A2440		5 09-Jul-13	15-Jul-13							
	A2450	L2 Ductwork Rough In	5 12-Jul-13	18-Jul-13			1 1 1 1 1 1				
	A2460	L2 Sprinkler Rough In	3 18-Jul-13	22-Jul-13							
	🚃 A2470	L2 Electrical Rough In	5 23-Jul-13	29-Jul-13			<u>.</u>				
	a A2480	L2 Install Hydronic Pipe	5 24-Jul-13	30-Jul-13	L2 Install Hydronic Pipe						
	a A2490	L2 Plumbing Rough In	5 25-Jul-13	31-Jul-13	L2 Plumbing Rough In						
	a A2500	L2 Ceiling/Bulkhead Framir	7 30-Jul-13	07-Aug-13	L2 Ceiling/Bulkhead Framing						
	a A2510	L2 Install Telecomm	5 31-Jul-13	06-Aug-13	L2 Install Telecomm						
	📟 A2520	L2 Hang & Finish Drywall	19 07-Aug-13	03-Sep-13	L2 Hang & Finisl	ו Drywall					
	🚃 A2530	L2 MEP & Equipment Trime	7 04-Sep-13	12-Sep-13		، Equipment Trimout					
	🚃 A2540	L2 Install Doors & Hardwar	3 10-Sep-13	12-Sep-13	L2 Install	Doors & Hardware					
	🚃 A2550	L2 Install Flooring	7 17-Sep-13	25-Sep-13		L2 Install Flooring					
	🚃 A2560	L2 Final Paint and Punchlis	7 03-Oct-13	11-Oct-13		L2 Final Paint and Punchlist					
	🖶 EC.3.3.5.1.4	Level 1	89 03-Jul-13	06-Nov-13		▼ 06-Nov-13, EC.3.3.5.1.4 Level 1					
	EC.3.3.5.1.5	Ground	120 07-Jun-13	25-Nov-13		▼ 25-Nov-13, EC.3.3.5.1.5	Ground				
	🚃 A2570	G Layout and Top Track	5 07-Jun-13	13-Jun-13	G Layout and Top Track						
	🚃 A2580	Mech Room Fitout	60 12-Jun-13	05-Sep-13	Mech Room Fi	.out					
	👜 A2590	G Install Framing	10 14-Jun-13	27-Jun-13	G Install Framing						
	📟 A2600	G Install Hydronic Pipe	33 26-Jun-13	12-Aug-13	G Install Hydronic Pipe						
	📟 A2610	G Instal Telecomm	5 11-Jul-13	17-Jul-13	G Instal Telecomm						
	Actual Level of Effort	Remaining Work	♦ Mile	estone		Project Schedule Penn State University Ewing Cross Penaus					
	Actual Work	Critical Remaining	a Work	nmary		roject conclude i enni state oniversity Ewing GOSS Renova					

Quaid Spe	earing, Construction N	Vanagement					Ewing C	cross Detailed Sched	ule	
Activity ID		Activity Name	Original	Start	Finish				2013	
			Duration			Q2			Q3	
	🚃 A2620	G Sprinkler Rough In	20	15-Jul-13	09-Aug-13				G Sprinkler Rough In	
	🚃 A2630	G Electrical Rough In	20	15-Jul-13	09-Aug-13		1		G Electrical Rough In	
	🚃 A2640	G Plumbing Rough In	30	15-Jul-13	23-Aug-13				G Plumbing Rough In	
	🚃 A2650	G Pipe/Duct Insulation	9	26-Aug-13	06-Sep-13				G Pipe/Duct I	nsulation
	🚃 A2660	G Ceiling/Bulkhead Framing	10	09-Sep-13	20-Sep-13				<b>G</b>	Ceiling/Bulkhead Framing
	🚃 A2670	G Hang & Finish Drywall	20	23-Sep-13	18-Oct-13					G Hang & Finish
	🚃 A2680	G MEP & Equipment Trimo	9	25-Oct-13	06-Nov-13					G
	🚃 A2690	G Install Doors & Hardware	5	25-Oct-13	31-Oct-13					G Inst
	🚃 A2700	G Install Flooring	10	01-Nov-13	14-Nov-13					
	🚃 A2710	G Final Paint and Punchlist	7	15-Nov-13	25-Nov-13					
	EC.3.3.5.2 Re	strooms	49	27-Aug-13	04-Nov-13				<b>V</b>	▼ 04-
	EC.3.3.5.2.1	1 Level 4	48	27-Aug-13	01-Nov-13					<b>0</b> 1-No
	a A2720	L4 Framing	5	27-Aug-13	03-Sep-13	_			L4 Framing	
	a A2730	L4 Ductwork Rough In	15	04-Sep-13	24-Sep-13					L4 Ductwork Rough In
	a A2740	L4 Sprinkler Rough In	15	04-Sep-13	24-Sep-13	_				L4 Sprinkler Rough In
	a A2750	L4 Electrical Rough In	15	04-Sep-13	24-Sep-13	_				L4 Electrical Rough In
	a A2760	L4 Plumbing Rough In	15	04-Sep-13	24-Sep-13	_				L4 Plumbing Rough In
	a A2770	L4 Hang & Finish Drywall	8	26-Sep-13	07-Oct-13	_				L4 Hang & Finish Drywal
	a A2780	L4 Install Ceramic Tile	12	10-Oct-13	25-Oct-13			 		L4 Install C
	a A2790	L4 MEP & Equipment Trime	5	28-Oct-13	01-Nov-13					L4 MI
	EC.3.3.5.2.2	2 Level 3	49	27-Aug-13	04-Nov-13	_				04-
	EC.3.3.5.2.3	3 Level 2	49	27-Aug-13	04-Nov-13	_				04-
	EC.3.3.5.2.4		49	27-Aug-13	04-INOV-13					04-
	C.4 Closeout a	and Final Completic	01	01-100-13	20-Jan- 14					
	A2800	Start Up Pumps	10	01-Nov-13	14-Nov-13	_				
	A2810	Final Inspections	11	15-Nov-13	02-Dec-13	_				
	A2820	Test & Balance Water	9	15-Nov-13	27-Nov-13	_				
	A2830	Test & Balance Air	7	09-Dec-13	17-Dec-13	_				
	A2840	Punchlist	19	26-Nov-13	23-Dec-13					
	A2850	Substanstial Completion	0		23-Dec-13	-				
	A2860	Commissioning	40	18-Nov-13	14-Jan-14	_				
	A2870	Final Completion	0		14-Jan-14	_				
	A2880	Owner FF&E	10	15-Jan-14	28-Jan-14			1		

♦ ♦ Milestone Critical Remaining Work summary

Page 5 of 5

	October 16th, 2013
	2014
Q4	Q1
Drywall	
MEP & Equipment Trimout	
Il Doors & Hardware	
G Install Flooring	
	4
Nov 13 EC 3 3 5 2 Postrooms	
NOV-13, EC.3.3.5.2.1 Level 4	
eramic Tile	
EP & Equipment Trimout	
Nov-13, EC.3.3.5.2.2 Level 3	
Nov-13, EC.3.3.5.2.3 Level 2	
Nov-13, EC.3.3.5.2.4 Level 1	
	▼ 20-Jali-1
Start Up Pumps	
Final Inspections	
Test & Balance Water	
Test & B	alance Air
Pun	chlist
♦ Sub	stanstial Completion,
	Commissioning
	Final Completion,
	Owner Fl
1	· · · · ·
University Ewing Cross Renova	tion

## APPENDIX B: ASSEMBLIES MEP ESTIMATES & DETAILED STRUCTURAL SYSTEMS ESTIMATE



#### Penn State

#### University Park, Pennsylvania, 16802 Date: 07-Sep-13

#### **Ewing-Cross Mechanical** Prepared By: Year 2013 Quarter 3 quaid spearing Assembly Detail Report penn state Description Total Incl. Ext. Total Incl. Assembly Quantity Unit Ø T Number 0&P 0&P

Number				Uddi	Uddi
D Services					
D30105301960	Commercial building heating systems, terminal unit heaters, forced hot water,	71,002.00	S.F.	\$3.57	\$253,477.14
D30203301010	Pump, base mounted with motor, end-suction, 2-1/2" size, 3 HP, to 150 GPM	4.00	Ea.	\$14,681.50	\$58,726.00
D30203301020	Pump, base mounted with motor, end-suction, 3" size, 5 HP, to 225 GPM	3.00	Ea.	\$16,201.10	\$48,603.30
D30203301030	Pump, base mounted with motor, end-suction, 4" size, 7-1/2 HP, to 350 GPM	4.00	Ea.	\$19,071.50	\$76,286.00
D30401061010	AHU, field fabricated, built up, cool/heat coils, filters, constant volume, 40,000 CFM	2.00	Ea.	\$87,857.80	\$175,715.60
D30401101010	AHU, central station, cool/heat coils, constant volume, filters, 2,000 CFM	2.00	Ea.	\$21,785.75	\$43,571.50
D30401181010	Fan coil A/C system, cabinet mounted, controls, 2 pipe, 1/2 ton	155.00	Ea.	\$2,219.25	\$343,983.75
D30401181020	Fan coil A/C system, cabinet mounted, controls, 2 pipe, 1 ton	8.00	Ea.	\$2,608.45	\$20,867.60
D30401181050	Fan coil A/C system, cabinet mounted, controls, 2 pipe, 3 ton	3.00	Ea.	\$4,699.45	\$14,098.35
D30401281010	Fan coil A/C system, horizontal with cabinet, controls, 4 pipe, 1/2 ton	5.00	Ea.	\$5,723.05	\$28,615.25
D30401281030	Fan coil A/C system, horizontal with cabinet, controls, 4 pipe, 1-1/2 ton	2.00	Ea.	\$8,888.55	\$17,777.10
D30401281040	Fan coil A/C system, horizontal with cabinet, controls, 4 pipe, 2 ton	2.00	Ea.	\$10,209.10	\$20,418.20
D30401281050	Fan coil A/C system, horizontal with cabinet, controls, 4 pipe, 3 ton	1.00	Ea.	\$13,043.75	\$13,043.75
D30401281070	Fan coil A/C system, horizontal with cabinet, controls, 4 pipe, 4 ton	1.00	Ea.	\$15,463.75	\$15,463.75
D30402201010	Fan system, in-line centrifugal, 500 CFM	3.00	Ea.	\$5,188.60	\$15,565.80
D30402201020	Fan system, in-line centrifugal, 1300 CFM	2.00	Ea. Ea	\$7,507.55	\$15,015.10
010102701010	aluminum, galvanized curb, back draft damper. 500 CFM	2.00		<i>4</i> <u>-</u> ,200.00	\$2,075.10
D30406101010	Plate heat exchanger, 400 GPM	4.00	Ea.	\$65,337.40	\$261,349.60
D Services Subtotal					\$1,428,451,19



#### Penn State

#### University Park, Pennsylvania, 16802 Date: 08-Sep-13

#### **Ewing-Cross Electrical** Prepared By: Year 2013 Quarter 3 quaid spearing Assembly Detail Report penn state ð Assembly Description Quantity Unit Total Incl. Ext. Total Incl. Т 0&P Number 0&P

D Services					
D50101301550	Underground service installation, includes excavation, backfill, and compaction, 100' length, 4' depth, 3 phase, 4 wire, 277/480 volts, 600 A w/switchboard	1.00	Ea.	\$26,024.68	\$26,024.68
D50102300240	Feeder installation 600 V, including RGS conduit and XHHW wire, 100 A	50.00	L.F.	\$25.94	\$1,297.00
D50102300280	Feeder installation 600 V, including RGS conduit and XHHW wire, 200 A	600.00	L.F.	\$48.77	\$29,262.00
D50102300320	Feeder installation 600 V, including RGS conduit and XHHW wire, 400 A	50.00	L.F.	\$97.42	\$4,871.00
D50102300360	Feeder installation 600 V, including RGS conduit and XHHW wire, 600 A	50.00	L.F.	\$169.98	\$8,499.00
D50102400240	Switchgear installation, incl switchboard, panels & circuit breaker, 120/208 V, 600 A	1.00	Ea.	\$12,831.60	\$12,831.60
D50102400520	Switchgear installation, incl switchboard, panels & circuit breaker, 277/480 V, 600 A	1.00	Ea.	\$20,698.73	\$20,698.73
D50102501040	Panelboard, 4 wire w/conductor & conduit, NQOD, 120/208 V, 100 A, 5 stories, 50' horizontal	1.00		\$5,403.98	\$5,403.98
D50102502020	Panelboard, 4 wire w/conductor & conduit, NQOD, 120/208 V, 225 A, 5 stories, 50' horizontal	17.00		\$10,567.05	\$179,639.85
D50201100600	Receptacles incl plate, box, conduit, wire, 16.5 per 1000 SF 2.0 watts per SF	71,002.00	S.F.	\$3.51	\$249,217.02
D50201300360	Wall switches, 5.0 per 1000 SF	71,002.00	S.F.	\$1.20	\$85,202.40
D50201450200	Motor installation, single phase, 115 V, 1/3 HP motor size	5.00	Ea.	\$1,523.72	\$7,618.60
D50201450280	Motor installation, single phase, 115 V, 2 HP motor size	2.00	Ea.	\$1,651.86	\$3,303.72
D50201451960	Motor installation, three phase, 460 V, 2 HP motor size	2.00	Ea.	\$1,851.59	\$3,703.18
D50201452000	Motor installation, three phase, 460 V, 5 HP motor size	5.00	Ea.	\$1,988.22	\$9,941.10
D50201452040	Motor installation, three phase, 460 V, 10 HP motor size	3.00	Ea.	\$2,157.86	\$6,473.58
D50201550360	Motor feeder systems, three phase, feed to 200 V 3 HP, 230 V 5 HP, 460 V 10 HP, 575 V 10 HP	500.00	L.F.	\$10.19	\$5,095.00
D50202100520	Fluorescent fixtures recess mounted in ceiling, 1.6 watt per SF, 40 FC, 10 fixtures @32watt per 1000 SF	71,002.00	S.F.	\$5.14	\$364,950.28
D50309200106	Internet wiring, 6 data/voice outlets per 1000 S.F.	71.00	M.S.F.	\$1,781.09	\$126,457.39
D Services Subtotal					\$1,150,490,11



#### Penn State

#### University Park, Pennsylvania, 16802 Date: 07-Sep-13

#### **Ewing-Cross Plumbing** Prepared By: Year 2013 Quarter 3 quaid spearing Assembly Detail Report penn state Γ Assembly Description Т Quantity Unit Total Incl Т Ext Total Incl

ressembly	0	Description	Quantity	Om	i otal Inci.	Ext. Fotal Incl.
Number	-				O&P	O&P
D Services						
D20103102300		Lavatory w/trim, wall hung, vitreous china, 20" x 27", handicap	22.00	Ea.	\$1,735.97	\$38,191.34
D20104101960		Kitchen sink w/trim, countertop, stainless steel, 33" x 22" double bowl	11.00	Ea.	\$2,197.67	\$24,174.37
D20104404260		Service sink w/trim, PE on CI, corner floor, 28" x 28", w/rim guard	8.00	Ea.	\$3,333.66	\$26,669.28
D20108201880		Water cooler, electric, wall hung, dual height, 14.3 GPH	4.00	Ea.	\$1,917.47	\$7,669.88
D20109222240		Bathroom, lavatory & water closet, 1 wall plumbing, share common plumbing wall*	2.00	Ea.	\$2,546.75	\$5,093.50
D20109262160		Bathroom, three fixture, 2 wall plumbing, lavatory, water closet & bathtub, stand alone	1.00	Ea.	\$4,841.85	\$4,841.85
D20109266120		Bathroom, three fixture, 2 wall plumbing, water closet, stall shower & lavatory, stand	10.00	Ea.	\$5,594.80	\$55,948.00
D20109267100		Bathroom, three fixture, 2 wall plumbing, lavatory, corner stall shower & water closet, short plumbing wall common *	32.00	Ea.	\$4,371.95	\$139,902.40
D20908101220		Copper tubing, hard temper, solder, type K, 1/2" diameter	300.00	L.F.	\$12.28	\$3,684.00
D20908101260		Copper tubing, hard temper, solder, type K, 3/4" diameter	500.00	L.F.	\$17.36	\$8,680.00
D20908101280		Copper tubing, hard temper, solder, type K, 1" diameter	200.00	L.F.	\$21.87	\$4,374.00
D20908101300		Copper tubing, hard temper, solder, type K, 1-1/4" diameter	50.00	L.F.	\$26.70	\$1,335.00
D20908101320		Copper tubing, hard temper, solder, type K, 1-1/2" diameter	650.00	L.F.	\$33.45	\$21,742.50
D20908101340		Copper tubing, hard temper, solder, type K, 2" diameter	220.00	L.F.	\$48.54	\$10,678.80
D20908101360		Copper tubing, hard temper, solder, type K, 2-1/2" diameter	275.00	L.F.	\$70.24	\$19,316.00
D Services Subtotal	l					\$372,300.92

### 03 Concrete Estimate

DC Maana Cada	Description	Crow			l lucit	Quantity 1	Quantity 2	Ma	torial ¢/Unit	lohor ¢/Unit	Eq	uipment	Matar	alć	l abar É	Fauinmonté		atal Cast É
KS Wearts Code	Description	Crew		Labor Hours	Unit	Quantity 1	Quantity 2	IVId	terial \$70nit	Labor \$/Onit		\$/Unit	water	al Ş	Labor Ş	Equipment \$		
Division 03	Concrete																	
	Concrete Column Footings																	
	Footing F3					18 ea												
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	0.47	8.38	\$	83.91	\$-	\$	-	\$7	03.08	\$-	\$-	\$	703.08
031113450020	Formwork Plywood	C1	305	0.1	SFCA	17.56	316.01	\$	5.53	\$ 4.66	\$	-	\$ 1,7	17.52	\$ 1,472.60	\$-	\$	3,220.12
032110600500	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	2.1	15.24	Ton	0.02	0.30	\$	1,409.81	\$ 824.60	\$	-	\$ 4	16.87	\$ 243.83	\$-	\$	660.69
033105702450	Placement, Pumped	C20	65	0.98	C.Y.	0.47	8.38	\$	-	\$ 47.33	\$	13.64	\$	-	\$ 396.58	\$ 114.2	9 \$	510.87
	Footing F3.2					2 ea												
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	0.70	1.40	\$	83.91	\$-	\$	-	\$ 1	17.47	\$-	\$-	\$	117.47
031113450020	Formwork Plywood	C1	305	0.1	SFCA	26.40	52.80	\$	5.53	\$ 4.66	\$	-	\$ 2	91.98	\$ 246.05	\$ -	\$	538.03
032110600500	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	2.1	15.24	Ton	0.02	0.05	\$	1,409.81	\$ 824.60	\$	-	\$	66.70	\$ 39.01	\$-	\$	105.72
033105702450	Placement, Pumped	C20	65	0.98	C.Y.	0.70	1.40	\$	-	\$ 47.33	\$	13.64	\$	-	\$ 66.26	\$ 19.2	.0 \$	85.36
	Footing F4					11 ea												
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	0.83	9.10	\$	83.91	\$-	\$	-	\$7	53.84	\$-	\$-	\$	763.84
031113450020	Formwork Plywood	C1	305	0.1	SFCA	23.41	257.49	\$	5.53	\$ 4.66	\$	-	\$ 1,4	23.91	\$ 1,199.89	\$-	\$	2,623.80
032110600500	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	2.1	15.24	Ton	0.04	0.42	\$	1,409.81	\$ 824.60	\$	-	\$5	36.98	\$ 343.33	\$-	\$	930.31
033105702450	Placement, Pumped	C20	65	0.98	C.Y.	0.83	9.10	\$	-	\$ 47.33	\$	13.64	\$	-	\$ 430.85	\$ 124.2	.7 \$	555.02
	Footing F4.2					7 ea												
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	1.24	8.71	\$	83.91	\$-	\$	-	\$ 7.	30.95	\$-	\$-	\$	730.95
031113450020	Formwork Plywood	C1	305	0.1	SFCA	35.20	246.40	\$	5.53	\$ 4.66	\$	-	\$ 1,3	52.59	\$ 1,148.22	\$-	\$	2,510.82
032110600500	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	2.1	15.24	Ton	0.04	0.31	\$	1,409.81	\$ 824.60	\$	-	\$ 4	35.79	\$ 254.89	\$ -	\$	690.68
033105702450	Placement, Pumped	C20	65	0.98	C.Y.	1.24	8.71	\$	-	\$ 47.33	\$	13.64	\$	-	\$ 412.30	\$ 118.8	\$2 \$	531.12

RS Means Code	Description	Crew	Daily Output	Labor Hours	Unit	Quantity 1	Quantity 2	Ma	aterial \$/Unit	Labor \$/Unit	Labor \$/Unit Equi		М	laterial \$	Labor \$ Equipment \$		uipment \$	\$ Total Cost \$	
Division 03	Concrete																		
	Concrete Wall Footings																		
	Wall Footing CF2.0/C-SE					1ea													
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	3.63	3.63	\$	83.91	\$ -	\$	-	\$	304.55	\$ -	\$	-	\$	304.55
031113450020	Formwork Plywood	C1	375	0.09	SFCA	111.45	111.45	\$	5.53	\$ 4.60	5\$	-	\$	616.33	\$ 519.37	\$	-	\$	1,135.70
032110600500	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	2.1	15.24	Ton	0.06	0.06	\$	1,409.81	\$ 824.60	) \$	-	\$	91.09	\$ 53.28	\$	-	\$	144.37
033105702450	Placement, Pumped	C20	65	0.98	C.Y.	3.63	3.63	\$	-	\$ 47.33	3 \$	13.64	\$	-	\$ 171.78	\$	49.51	\$	221.29
	Wall Footing CF4.0/C-SC					1ea													
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	7.87	7.87	\$	83.91	\$-	\$	-	\$	660.20	\$-	\$	-	\$	660.20
031113450020	Formwork Plywood	C1	375	0.09	SFCA	129.80	129.80	\$	5.53	\$ 4.6	5 \$	-	\$	717.79	\$ 604.87	\$	-	\$	1,322.66
032110600500	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	2.1	15.24	Ton	0.25	0.25	\$	1,409.81	\$ 824.60	) \$	-	\$	353.17	\$ 206.57	\$	-	\$	559.75
033105702450	Placement, Pumped	C20	65	0.98	C.Y.	7.87	7.87	\$	-	\$ 47.33	3 \$	13.64	\$	-	\$ 372.39	\$	107.32	\$	479.71
	Wall Footing CF4.0/E-SC					1ea													
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	8.61	8.61	\$	83.91	\$-	\$	-	\$	722.33	\$-	\$	-	\$	722.33
031113450020	Formwork Plywood	C1	375	0.09	SFCA	139.35	139.35	\$	5.53	\$ 4.6	5\$	-	\$	770.59	\$ 649.36	\$	-	\$	1,419.96
032110600500	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	2.1	15.24	Ton	0.27	0.27	\$	1,409.81	\$ 824.60	) \$	-	\$	386.41	\$ 226.01	\$	-	\$	612.42
033105702450	Placement, Pumped	C20	65	0.98	C.Y.	8.61	8.61	\$	-	\$ 47.33	\$\$	13.64	\$	-	\$ 407.44	\$	117.42	\$	524.86
	Wall Footing CF4.0/C-NE, E-NW					2 ea													
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	14.52	29.03	\$	83.91	\$-	\$	-	\$	2,435.95	\$-	\$	-	\$	2,435.95
031113450020	Formwork Plywood	C1	375	0.09	SFCA	138.60	277.20	\$	5.53	\$ 4.6	5\$	-	\$	1,532.92	\$ 1,291.75	\$	-	\$	2,824.67
032110600500	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	2.1	15.24	Ton	0.41	0.81	\$	1,409.81	\$ 824.60	) \$	-	\$	1,144.08	\$ 669.17	\$	-	\$	1,813.25
033105702450	Placement, Pumped	C20	65	0.98	C.Y.	14.52	29.03	\$	-	\$ 47.33	\$\$	13.64	\$	-	\$ 1,374.02	\$	395.98	\$	1,769.99
	Wall Footing CF4.0/C-NC, E-NC					2 ea													
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	25.82	51.64	\$	83.91	\$-	\$	-	\$	4,333.49	\$-	\$	-	\$	4,333.49
031113450020	Formwork Plywood	C1	375	0.09	SFCA	174.55	349.10	\$	5.53	\$ 4.6	5\$	-	\$	1,930.50	\$ 1,626.79	\$	-	\$	3,557.29
032110600500	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	2.1	15.24	Ton	0.61	1.22	\$	1,409.81	\$ 824.60	) \$	-	\$	1,713.24	\$ 1,002.08	\$	-	\$	2,715.32
033105702450	Placement, Pumped	C20	65	0.98	C.Y.	25.82	51.64	\$	-	\$ 47.33	\$\$	13.64	\$	-	\$ 2,444.33	\$	704.43	\$	3,148.76
	Wall Footing CF2.0/E-SE					1ea													
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	5.25	5.25	\$	83.91	\$-	\$	-	\$	440.53	\$-	\$	-	\$	440.53
031113450020	Formwork Plywood	C1	375	0.09	SFCA	157.30	157.30	\$	5.53	\$ 4.6	5\$	-	\$	869.87	\$ 733.02	\$	-	\$	1,602.89
032110600500	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	2.1	15.24	Ton	0.09	0.09	\$	1,409.81	\$ 824.60	) \$	-	\$	130.27	\$ 76.19	\$	-	\$	206.46
033105702450	Placement, Pumped	C20	65	0.98	C.Y.	5.25	5.25	\$	-	\$ 47.33	\$\$	13.64	\$	-	\$ 248.48	\$	71.61	\$	320.09
	Wall Footing CF2.0/Stairs					2 ea													
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	3.93	7.86	\$	83.91	\$-	\$	-	\$	659.36	\$ -	\$	-	\$	659.36
031113450020	Formwork Plywood	C1	375	0.09	SFCA	112.20	224.40	\$	5.53	\$ 4.6	5 \$	-	\$	1,240.93	\$ 1,045.70	\$	-	\$	2,286.64
032110600500	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	2.1	15.24	Ton	0.11	0.21	\$	1,409.81	\$ 824.60	) \$	-	\$	296.06	\$ 173.17	\$	-	\$	469.23
033105702450	Placement, Pumped	C20	65	0.98	C.Y.	3.93	7.86	\$	-	\$ 47.33	\$\$	13.64	\$	-	\$ 371.91	\$	107.18	\$	479.10

RS Means Code	Description	Crew	Daily Output	Labor Hours	Unit	Quantity 1	Quantity 2	Ma	terial \$/Unit	Labor \$/Unit	Equipment \$/Unit	N	laterial \$	Labor \$	Equi	pment \$	Tot	tal Cost \$
Division 03	Concrete																	
	Concrete Foundation Walls																	
	Concrete Wall/C-SE					1ea												
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	1.59	1.59	\$	83.91	\$ -	\$ -	\$	133.10	\$ -	\$	-	\$	133.10
031113852000	Formwork Plywood to 8' high	C2	370	0.13	SFCA	123.94	123.94	\$	2.29	\$ 4.89	\$ -	\$	283.82	\$ 606.05	\$	-	\$	889.87
032110600700	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	3	10.67	Ton	0.14	0.14	\$	1,409.81	\$ 575.05	\$ -	\$	197.01	\$ 80.36	\$	-	\$	277.36
033105704950	Placement, Pumped 8" thick	C20	100	0.64	C.Y.	1.59	1.59	\$	-	\$ 26.80	\$ 8.83	\$	-	\$ 42.51	\$	14.01	\$	56.52
	Concrete Wall/C-SE					1ea												
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	6.56	6.56	\$	83.91	\$ -	\$ -	\$	550.40	\$ -	\$	-	\$	550.40
031113852400	Formwork Plywood 8-16' high	C2	280	0.17	SFCA	512.71	512.71	\$	2.52	\$ 6.47	\$ -	\$	1,292.03	\$ 3,317.23	\$	-	\$	4,609.26
032110600700	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	3	10.67	Ton	0.44	0.44	\$	1,409.81	\$ 575.05	\$ -	\$	613.88	\$ 250.40	\$	-	\$	864.28
033105704950	Placement, Pumped 8" thick	C20	100	0.64	C.Y.	6.56	6.56	\$	-	\$ 26.80	\$ 8.83	\$	-	\$ 175.79	\$	57.92	\$	233.71
	Concrete Wall/C-SC					1ea												
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	8.72	8.72	\$	83.91	\$ -	\$ -	\$	731.44	\$ -	\$	-	\$	731.44
031113852000	Formwork Plywood to 8' high	C2	370	0.13	SFCA	471.23	471.23	\$	2.29	\$ 4.89	\$ -	\$	1,079.11	\$ 2,304.31	\$	-	\$	3,383.42
032110600700	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	3	10.67	Ton	0.83	0.83	\$	1,409.81	\$ 575.05	\$ -	\$	1,171.31	\$ 477.77	\$	-	\$	1,649.08
033105705100	Placement, Pumped 12" thick	C20	110	0.58	C.Y.	8.72	8.72	\$	-	\$ 26.80	\$ 8.04	\$	-	\$ 233.61	\$	70.08	\$	303.70
	Concrete Wall/C-SC					1ea												
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	3.18	3.18	\$	83.91	\$-	\$-	\$	267.16	\$-	\$	-	\$	267.16
031113852000	Formwork Plywood to 8' high	C2	370	0.13	SFCA	275.96	275.96	\$	2.29	\$ 4.89	\$-	\$	631.94	\$ 1,349.43	\$	-	\$	1,981.37
032110600700	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	3	10.67	Ton	0.22	0.22	\$	1,409.81	\$ 575.05	\$-	\$	312.31	\$ 127.39	\$	-	\$	439.70
033105704950	Placement, Pumped 8" thick	C20	100	0.64	C.Y.	3.18	3.18	\$	-	\$ 26.80	\$ 8.83	\$	-	\$ 85.33	\$	28.11	\$	113.44
	Concrete Wall/E-SC					1ea												
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	4.67	4.67	\$	83.91	\$-	\$-	\$	391.58	\$-	\$	-	\$	391.58
031113852000	Formwork Plywood to 8' high	C2	370	0.13	SFCA	253.00	253.00	\$	2.29	\$ 4.89	\$-	\$	579.37	\$ 1,237.17	\$	-	\$	1,816.54
032110600700	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	3	10.67	Ton	0.33	0.33	\$	1,409.81	\$ 575.05	\$-	\$	461.92	\$ 188.41	\$	-	\$	650.34
033105705100	Placement, Pumped 12" thick	C20	110	0.58	C.Y.	4.67	4.67	\$	-	\$ 26.80	\$ 8.04	\$	-	\$ 125.07	\$	37.52	\$	162.59
	Concrete Wall/C-NE, E-NW					2 ea												
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	2.20	4.39	\$	83.91	\$ -	\$-	\$	368.48	\$ -	\$	-	\$	368.48
031113852000	Formwork Plywood to 8' high	C2	370	0.13	SFCA	176.00	352.00	\$	2.29	\$ 4.89	\$ -	\$	806.08	\$ 1,721.28	\$	-	\$	2,527.36
032110600700	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	3	10.67	Ton	0.18	0.36	\$	1,409.81	\$ 575.05	\$-	\$	513.07	\$ 209.28	\$	-	\$	722.35
033105705100	Placement, Pumped 12" thick	C20	110	0.58	C.Y.	2.20	4.39	\$	-	\$ 26.80	\$ 8.04	\$	-	\$ 117.69	\$	35.31	\$	152.99
	Concrete Wall/C-NC, E-NC					2 ea		-										
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	5.13	10.27	\$	83.91	\$-	\$ -	\$	861.45	\$-	\$	-	\$	861.45
031113852000	Formwork Plywood to 8' high	C2	370	0.13	SFCA	277.75	555.50	\$	2.29	\$ 4.89	\$ -	\$	1,272.10	\$ 2,716.40	\$	-	\$	3,988.49
032110600700	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	3	10.67	Ton	0.44	0.87	\$	1,409.81	\$ 575.05	\$ -	\$	1,233.25	\$ 503.03	\$	-	\$	1,736.29
033105705100	Placement, Pumped 12" thick	C20	110	0.58	C.Y.	5.13	10.27	\$	-	\$ 26.80	\$ 8.04	\$	-	\$ 275.14	\$	82.54	\$	357.68
	Concrete Wall/E-SE	,				1ea												
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	10.91	10.91	\$	83.91	\$ -	\$ -	\$	915.19	\$ -	\$	-	\$	915.19
031113852400	Formwork Plywood 8-16' high	C2	280	0.17	SFCA	940.74	940.74	\$	2.52	\$ 4.89	\$ -	\$	2,370.67	\$ 4,600.23	\$	-	\$	6,970.90
032110600700	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	3	10.67	Ton	1.19	1.19	\$	1,409.81	\$ 575.05	\$ -	\$	1,675.49	\$ 683.42	\$	-	\$	2,358.91
033105704950	Placement, Pumped 8" thick	C20	100	0.64	C.Y.	10.91	10.91	Ş	-	\$ 26.80	\$ 8.83	Ş	-	\$ 292.30	Ş	96.31	Ş	388.61
	Concrete Wall/E-SE													<u>.</u>				
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	1.61	1.61	Ş	83.91	Ş -	<u>Ş -</u>	Ş	135.32	<u>Ş -</u>	Ş	-	Ş	135.32
031113852000	Formwork Plywood to 8' high	C2	370	0.13	SFCA	87.48	87.48	Ş	2.29	\$ 4.89	<u>Ş</u> -	Ş	200.34	\$ 427.79	Ş	-	Ş	628.13
032110600700	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	3	10.67	Ton	0.10	0.10	Ş	1,409.81	\$ 575.05	Ş -	\$	136.26	\$ 55.58	\$	-	Ş	191.84
033105705100	Placement, Pumped 12" thick	C20	110	0.58	C.Y.	1.61	1.61	Ş	-	\$ 26.80	\$ 8.04	Ş	-	\$ 43.22	Ş	12.97	Ş	56.19
000405050450	Concrete Wall/Stairs		2		0.11	2 ea	4.00	A	00.04	<u>ب</u>	¢.	~	262.17	<u> </u>	ć		ć	
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi	62	0	0	C.Y.	2.15	4.30	Ş	83.91	> -	<u>ې -</u>	Ş	360.45	<u>&gt;</u> -	Ş	-	Ş	360.45
031113852000	Formwork Plywood to 8' high		3/0	0.13	SECA	115.50	231.00	\$ ¢	2.29	\$ 4.89	<u>ې -</u>	Ş	528.99	\$ 1,129.59	Ş	-	Ş	1,658.58
032110600700	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Kodm	3	10.67	Ion	0.08	0.16	ې د	1,409.81	\$ 5/5.05	<u>γ</u> -	Ş	229.45	> 93.59	Ş	-	Ş	323.04
022102/02100	Placement, Pumped 12 thick	C20	110	0.58	C. Y.	2.15	4.30	Ş	-	Ş 26.80	ə 8.04	Ş	-	ş 115.12	Ş	34.54	Ş	149.66

RS Means Code	Description	Crew	Daily Output	Labor Hours	Unit	Quantity 1	Quantity 2	M	aterial \$/Unit	Labor \$/Un	it	Equipment \$/Unit	M	aterial \$	Labor \$		Equipment \$	То	tal Cost \$
Division 03	Concrete																		
	Concrete Piers																		
	16x16; 10.88' height					2.00													
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	0.75	1.50	\$	83.91	\$	- \$	-	\$	125.57	\$-	\$	-	\$	125.57
031113256000	Formwork Plywood 16x16	C1	185	0.17	SFCA	63.67	127.34	\$	2.38	\$ 7	.70 \$	-	\$	303.06	\$ 980.4	<b>19</b> \$	-	\$	1,283.55
032110600200	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	1.5	21.33	Ton	0.07	0.13	\$	1,409.81	\$ 1,139	.25 \$	-	\$	186.25	\$ 150.	51 \$	-	\$	336.76
033105700600	Placement, Pumped	C20	90	0.71	C.Y.	0.75	1.50	\$	-	\$ 31	.78 \$	9.85	\$	-	\$ 47.	56 \$	14.74	\$	62.30
	16x16; 6' height					8.00													
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	0.41	3.32	\$	83.91	\$	- \$	-	\$	278.54	\$-	\$	-	\$	278.54
031113256000	Formwork Plywood 16x16	C1	185	0.17	SFCA	35.31	282.48	\$	2.38	\$ 7	.70 \$	-	\$	672.30	\$ 2,175.	10 \$	-	\$	2,847.40
032110600200	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	1.5	21.33	Ton	0.05	0.40	\$	1,409.81	\$ 1,139	.25 \$	-	\$	559.55	\$ 452.	17 \$	-	\$	1,011.72
033105700600	Placement, Pumped	C20	90	0.71	C.Y.	0.41	3.32	\$	-	\$ 31	.78 \$	9.85	\$	-	\$ 105.	50 \$	32.70	\$	138.19
	24x24; 6' height					4.00													
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	0.93	3.73	\$	83.91	\$	- \$	-	\$	313.26	\$-	\$	-	\$	313.26
031113256500	Formwork Plywood 24x24	C1	190	0.17	SFCA	52.80	211.20	\$	2.65	\$ 7	.70 \$	-	\$	559.68	\$ 1,626.	24 \$	-	\$	2,185.92
032110600200	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	1.5	21.33	Ton	0.09	0.34	\$	1,409.81	\$ 1,139	.25 \$	-	\$	485.44	\$ 392.	28 \$	-	\$	877.72
033105700600	Placement, Pumped	C20	90	0.71	C.Y.	0.93	3.73	\$	-	\$ 31	.78 \$	9.85	\$	-	\$ 118.	65 \$	36.77	\$	155.42
	24x24; 3' height					6.00													
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	0.47	2.80	\$	83.91	\$	- \$	-	\$	234.95	\$-	\$	-	\$	234.95
031113256500	Formwork Plywood 24x24	C1	190	0.17	SFCA	26.40	158.40	\$	2.65	\$ 7	.70 \$	-	\$	419.76	\$ 1,219.	58 \$	-	\$	1,639.44
032110600200	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	1.5	21.33	Ton	0.04	0.26	\$	1,409.81	\$ 1,139	.25 \$	-	\$	364.15	\$ 294.	27 \$	-	\$	658.42
033105700600	Placement, Pumped	C20	90	0.71	C.Y.	0.47	2.80	\$	_	\$ 31	.78 \$	9.85	\$	-	\$ 88.	98 \$	27.58	\$	116.56

RS Means Code	Description	Crew	Daily Output	Labor Hours	Unit	Quantity 1	Quantity 2	Ma	terial \$/Unit	Labor \$/Unit	Equi \$/	ipment /Unit	Material \$		Labor \$	Equipment \$	То	tal Cost \$
Division 03	Concrete																	
	Concrete Columns																	
	Columns CC1					14.00												
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	0.52	7.26	\$	83.91	\$-	\$	-	\$ 608.97	′\$	-	\$-	\$	608.97
031113255500	Formwork Plywood 12x12	C1	180	0.18	SFCA	58.65	821.13	\$	2.44	\$ 7.91	\$	-	\$ 2,003.55	5\$	6,495.12	\$-	\$	8,498.67
032110600200	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	1.5	21.33	Ton	0.09	1.20	\$	1,409.81	\$ 1,139.25	\$	-	\$ 1,698.04	l \$	1,372.16	\$-	\$	3,070.20
033105700600	Placement, Pumped	C20	90	0.71	C.Y.	0.52	7.26	\$	-	\$ 31.78	\$	9.85	\$ -	\$	230.64	\$ 71.49	\$	302.13
	Columns CC2					4.00												
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	0.71	2.82	\$	83.91	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 236.91	\$	-	\$-	\$	236.91
031113255500	Formwork Plywood 14x14	C1	180	0.18	SFCA	68.42	273.68	\$	2.44	\$ 7.91	\$	-	\$ 667.78	\$\$	2,164.81	\$-	\$	2,832.59
032110600200	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	1.5	21.33	Ton	0.09	0.34	\$	1,409.81	\$ 1,139.25	\$	-	\$ 485.15	5\$	392.05	\$ -	\$	877.20
033105700600	Placement, Pumped	C20	90	0.71	C.Y.	0.71	2.82	\$	-	\$ 31.78	\$	9.85	\$ -	\$	89.73	\$ 27.81	\$	117.54

RS Means Code	Description	Crew	Daily Output	Labor Hours	Unit	Quantity 1	Quantity 2	Materia	al \$/Unit	Labor \$/Unit	Equipmen \$/Unit	t M	aterial \$	Labor \$	Equipment \$	То	tal Cost \$
Division 03	Concrete																
	Concrete Beams																
	Beam CB1					11 ea											
033105350150	Concrete Material 3000psi		0	0	C.Y.	0.34	3.74	\$	83.91 \$	<b>b</b> -	\$-	\$	314.08	\$-	\$-	\$	314.08
031113200500	Formwork Plywood	C2	225	0.21	SFCA	29.70	326.70	\$	2.65 \$	8.05	\$-	\$	865.76	\$ 2,629.94	\$-	\$	3,495.69
032110600100	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	1.6	20	Ton	0.04	0.40	\$	1,409.81	\$ 1,079.58	\$ -	\$	561.20	\$ 429.75	\$ -	\$	990.95

RS Means Code	Description	Crew	Daily Output	Labor Hours	Unit	Quantity 1	Quantity 2	Mat	erial \$/Unit	Labor \$/Unit	Equipment S/Unit	Material \$	Labor \$	Equipment \$	Total Cost \$
Division 03	Concrete										<i>,,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
	Slab on Grade														
	Concrete Slab/North Walkway					1 ea									
033053404700	slab on grade, 4000 psi	C14E	92	0.957	C.Y.	46.18	46.18	\$	98.61	\$ 28.69	\$ 0.41	\$ 4,554.25	\$ 1,325.03	\$ 18.94	\$ 5,898.22
033529300200	Finish, bull float and manual float	C10	1265	0.02	S.F.	1187.60	1187.60	\$	-	\$ 0.53	\$-	\$-	\$ 629.43	\$ -	\$ 629.43
030130620010	Slab on Grade Patching	1 Cert	100	0.08	S.F.	2100.00	2100.00	\$	7.71	\$ 2.88		\$ 16,191.00	\$ 6,048.00	\$ -	\$ 22,239.00
	Elevated Concrete Slab														
	4" Slab/South Porch					2.00									
033105350300	Concrete Material 4000psi		0	0	C.Y.	4.34	8.69	\$	88.23	\$-	\$-	\$ 766.32	\$-	\$ -	\$ 766.32
031113351000	Formwork Plywood, 1 use	C2	470	0.102	S.F.	467.50	935.00	\$	3.18	\$ 3.85	\$-	\$ 2,973.30	\$ 3,599.75	\$ -	\$ 6,573.05
032110600400	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	2.9	11.03	Ton	0.30	0.60	\$	1,374.17	\$ 596.75	\$-	\$ 827.58	\$ 359.39	\$ -	\$ 1,186.96
033105701400	Placement, Pumped, < 6"	C20	140	0.46	C.Y.	4.34	8.69	\$	-	\$ 19.14	\$ 6.34	\$ -	\$ 166.24	\$ 55.07	\$ 221.31
032205500200	WWF W2.1xW2.1	2 Rodm	31	0.52	C.S.F.	3.52	7.04	\$	16.27	\$ 27.67	\$ -	\$ 114.46	\$ 194.66	\$ -	\$ 309.12
033529300200	Finish, bull float and manual float	C10	1265	0.02	S.F.	335.00	670.00	\$	-	\$ 0.78	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 522.60	\$ -	\$ 522.60
	6" Slab/South Porch					1.00									
033105350300	Concrete Material 4000psi		0	0	C.Y.	5.09	5.09	\$	88.23	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 449.48	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 449.48
031113351000	Formwork Plywood, 1 use	C2	470	0.102	S.F.	327.80	327.80	\$	3.18	\$ 3.85	\$ -	\$ 1,042.40	\$ 1,262.03	\$ -	\$ 2,304.43
032110600400	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	2.9	11.03	Ton	0.23	0.23	\$	1,374.17	\$ 596.75	\$ -	\$ 313.10	\$ 135.97	\$ -	\$ 449.07
033105701500	Placement, Pumped 6"-10"	C20	160	0.4	C.Y.	5.09	5.09	\$	-	\$ 16.75	\$ 5.54	\$ -	\$ 85.33	\$ 28.22	\$ 113.56
032205500300	WWF W2.5xW2.5	2 Rodm	29	0.55	C.S.F.	2.76	2.76	\$	21.11	\$ 29.84	\$ -	\$ 58.30	\$ 82.40	\$ -	\$ 140.70
033529300200	Finish, bull float and manual float	C10	1265	0.02	S.F.	263.00	263.00	\$	-	\$ 0.78	\$ -	<u>\$</u> -	\$ 205.14	<u>\$</u> -	\$ 205.14
	8" Slab/South Porch		-			4.00									
033105350300	Concrete Material 4000psi		0	0	C.Y.	9.48	37.91	\$	88.23	<u>Ş</u> -	<u>Ş -</u>	\$ 3,344.84	Ş -	Ş -	\$ 3,344.84
031113351000	Formwork Plywood, 1 use	C2	4/0	0.102	S.F.	508.86	2035.44	Ş	3.18	\$ 3.85	<u>Ş</u> -	\$ 6,4/2.70	\$ 7,836.44	Ş -	\$ 14,309.14
032110600400	Reinforcing, Grade 60	4 Rodm	2.9	11.03	Ion	0.50	2.01	\$	1,3/4.1/	\$ 596.75	<u>Ş</u> -	\$ 2,757.70	\$ 1,197.57	Ş -	\$ 3,955.27
033105/01500	Placement, Pumped 6"-10"	C20	160	0.4	C.Y.	9.48	37.91	Ş	-	\$ 16.75	<u>\$ 5.54</u>	Ş -	\$ 635.00	\$ 210.02	\$ 845.02
033529300200	Finish, buil float and manual float	C10	1265	0.02	5.F.	366.00	1464.00	\$	-	\$ 0.78	Ş -	Ş -	\$ 1,141.92	Ş -	\$ 1,141.92
022405250200	Restroom Slab		0	0	C Y	6.00	20.67	ć	00.22	ć	ć	ć 2,400.70	ć	ė	ć 2.400.70
033105350300		4 Dedma	0	11.02	C.Y.	6.61	39.67	\$ ¢	88.23	<u></u>	<u>Ş</u> -	\$ 3,499.79	<u>\$</u> -	ې - د	\$ 3,499.79
032110600400	Remorcing, Grade 60	4 K00m	2.9	11.03		0.74	4.42	ې د	1,374.17	\$ 590.75	<u> </u>	\$ 0,007.88	\$ 2,635.05	> - ¢ 210.75	\$ 8,702.93
033105701500	Finish hull float and manual float	C20	1265	0.4	C. Y.	210.00	1960.00	¢	-	\$ 10.75 \$ 0.52	<u>ې 5.54</u>	<del>ې -</del> د	\$ 004.42	\$ 219.75 ¢	\$ 004.17
035329500200	Finish, buil hoat and hiandar hoat	C10	1205 E 4 E	0.02	<u>З.Г.</u>	210.00	620.00	ې د	1 20	\$ 0.55 ¢ 2.22	<u>ې -</u> د		\$ 965.60	ې - د	\$ 965.60 \$ 965.00
051115551100	Slah on Composite Deck	CZ	545	0.09	З.Г.	510.00	020.00	Ş	1.20	ş 5.52		\$ 795.00	\$ 2,038.40	Ş -	\$ 2,852.00
033116100820	IW 3 1/4" Concrete				СХ	1 84	14 75	Ś	121 97			\$ 1 799 60	Ś -	Ś _	\$ 1,799,60
053113505900	3" deen 28 ga Decking	F4	2850	0.011	SF	183 75	1470.00	\$	3.00	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.06	\$ 4,755.00	\$ 1,029,00	\$ 88.20	\$ 5,527,20
033105701500	Placement Pumped 6"-10"	C20	160	0.011		1.84	14 75	<u>ې</u> د	-	\$ 16.75	\$ 5.54	\$ -	\$ 247.14	\$ 81.74	\$ 328.88
032205500300	WWF W2 5xW2 5	2 Rodm	29	0.55	CSE	1.84	14.70	Ś	21 11	\$ 29.84	\$ -	\$ 310 32	\$ 438.65	\$ -	\$ 748 97
033529300200	Finish bull float and manual float	C10	1265	0.02	S F	183 75	1470.00	Ś		\$ 0.53	\$	\$ -	\$ 779.10	\$	\$ 779.10
033323300200	Topping Slab	010	1205	0.02	5.11	105.75	1470.00	Ŷ		<del>,</del> 0.55	Ŷ	Ŷ	<i>Ş</i> 775.10	Ý	<i>y 115</i> .10
033529300600	1" Topping Restroom Slabs	C10B	750	0.053	S F	6000.00	6000.00	Ś	0.78	\$ 2.60	\$ 0.60	\$ 4 680 00	\$ 15,600,00	\$ 3,600,00	\$ 23,880,00
	Concrete Stairs	0100	, 30	0.000	5.1.	0000.00		Y	0.75	- 2.00	+ 0.00	÷ ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	÷ 10,000.00	- 3,000.00	- 25,000.00
033053406800	South Porch Stairs	C14H	750	0.053	S.F.	340	340	Ś	6.58	\$ 40.00	\$ 5.54	\$ 2.237.20	\$ 13,600,00	\$ 1.883.60	\$ 17.720.80
	Equipment		-					T	0.00	,	,,		,		
015433102120	Concrete Truck with Pump		0	0	Week	10	10	Ś	27 56	Ś 984 84	\$ 4.051.26	\$ 275.60	\$ 9,848.40	\$ 40.512.60	\$ 50,636,60
			-	-				T	_,,,,,,	,	, .,		+ 5,515110	,,012100	, 20,000.00

		(	Concr	ete	Estimate Su	mma	ry			
ltem		Material			Labor			Equipment		Total
Subtotal	\$	126,183.72		\$	139,041.65		\$	49,363.63	\$	314,588.99
Tax (6%)	\$	7,571.02							\$	7,571.02
O & P (10%)	\$	13,375.47		\$	13,904.16		\$	4,936.36	\$	32,216.00
Grand Total	\$	147,130.21		\$	152,945.81		\$	54,299.99	\$	354,376.02

#### 05 Metals Estimate

RS Means Code	Description	Crew	Daily Output	Labor Hours	Unit	Quantity 1	Quantity 2	M	aterial \$/Unit	Labo	or \$/Unit	Equ \$,	ipment /Unit	N	laterial \$	Labo	r \$	Equi	pment \$	То	tal Cost \$
Division 05	Metals																				
	Structural Steel Columns																				
051223174500	Column C1, 4x4x1/4 w/ baseplate	E2	58	0.97	Ea.	30.00	30.00	\$	200.38	\$	57.24	\$	30.00	\$	6,011.40	\$ 1,7	17.20	\$	900.00	\$	8,628.60
051223174500	Column C2, 4x4x1/2 w/ baseplate	E2	58	0.97	Ea.	15.00	15.00	\$	200.38	\$	57.24	\$	30.00	\$	3,005.70	\$8	58.60	\$	450.00	\$	4,314.30
051223174600	Column C3, 8x8x3/8 w/ baseplate	E2	50	1.12	Ea.	5.00	5.00	\$	708.32	\$	66.28	\$	34.53	\$	3,541.60	\$ 3	31.40	\$	172.65	\$	4,045.65
051223175600	Column C4, 8x4x3/8 w/ baseplate	E2	54	1.04	Ea.	10.00	10.00	\$	442.70	\$	61.46	\$	31.70	\$	4,427.00	\$6	14.60	\$	317.00	\$	5,358.60
051223174500	Column C5, 4x4x1/4 w/ baseplate	E2	58	0.97	Ea.	5.00	5.00	\$	200.38	\$	57.24	\$	30.00	\$	1,001.90	\$2	86.20	\$	150.00	\$	1,438.10
	Structural Steel Roof Members																				
051223175600	HSS 7x4x3/8; 12' lengths	E2	54	1.04	Ea.	35.00	35.00	\$	442.70	\$	61.46	\$	31.70	\$	15,494.50	\$ 2,1	51.10	\$	1,109.50	\$	18,755.10
051223174500	HSS 4 1/2x4 1/2x3/8; 12' lengths	E2	58	0.97	Ea.	50.00	50.00	\$	200.38	\$	57.24	\$	30.00	\$	10,019.00	\$ 2,8	62.00	\$	1,500.00	\$	14,381.00
051223176850	W8x28	E2	1080	0.05	L.F.	136.00	136.00	\$	41.47	\$	3.06	\$	1.60	\$	5,639.92	\$ 4	16.16	\$	217.60	\$	6,273.68
051223201200	C6x13	E4	255	0.13	L.F.	20.00	20.00	\$	12.63	\$	7.65	\$	0.63	\$	252.60	\$ 1	53.00	\$	12.60	\$	418.20
051223201300	C8x11.5	E4	225	0.14	L.F.	25.00	25.00	\$	17.43	\$	8.68	\$	0.72	\$	435.75	\$2	17.00	\$	18.00	\$	670.75
051223200300	L4x4x1/4	E4	275	0.12	L.F.	35	35	\$	12.63	\$	7.11	\$	0.59	\$	442.05	\$2	48.85	\$	20.65	\$	711.55
	Roof Decking																				
053123503450	2" 2C Conform Roof Decking	E4	3400	0.01	S.F.	3752.58	3752.58	\$	3.47	\$	0.59	\$	0.05	\$	13,021.47	\$ 2,2	14.02	\$	187.63	\$	15,423.12
	Cold Formed Roof Trusses					8 ea															
054413602120	18 ga. 16' spans	2 Carp	8	2	Ea.	7.00	56.00	\$	85.93	\$	109.44	\$	-	\$	4,812.08	\$ 6,1	28.64	\$	-	\$	10,940.72
054413602130	18 ga. 20' spans	2 Carp	7	2.29	Ea.	4.00	32.00	\$	107.42	\$	125.25	\$	-	\$	3,437.44	\$ 4,0	08.00	\$	-	\$	7,445.44
054413602140	18 ga. 24' spans	2 Carp	7	2.29	Ea.	5.00	40.00	\$	128.90	\$	125.25	\$	-	\$	5,156.00	\$ 5,0	10.00	\$	-	\$	10,166.00
	Metal Railing																				
055213502010	Metal Railing 4 1/2" OC at 42" high	E4	120	0.27	L.F.	538.01	538.01	\$	167.33	\$	16.02	\$	1.36	\$	90,025.13	\$ 8,6	18.91	\$	731.69	\$	99,375.73
	Equipment																				
	12-ton truck-mounted hydraulic																				
015419500100	crane	A3H	1	8	Day	40.00	40.00			\$	370.50	\$	967.86	\$	-	\$ 14,8	20.00	\$	38,714.40	\$	53,534.40

			Meta	als E	Stimate Sun	nmary	/			
ltem		Material			Labor			Equipment		
				-		· ·			-	
Subtotal	\$ 166,724			\$	50,656		\$	44,502		\$
Tax (6%)	\$	10,003								\$
O & P (10%)	\$	17,673		\$	5,066		\$	4,450		\$
				•						
Grand Total	\$ 194,400			\$	55,721		\$	48,952		\$



	•	Сог	ncrete Columi	ns and Foo	tings		•	•	•
ltem			Concrete			Horizton	al Rebar	Vertical	Rebar
Column Footings	Quantity	Width	Length	Thickness	Volume (CF)	Length	Туре	Length	Туре
F3	18	3	3	1.33	11.97	30	#5	-	-
F3.2	2	3	3	2	18	30	#6	-	-
F4	11	4	4	1.33	21.28	48	#6	-	-
F4.2	7	4	4	2	32	56	#6	-	-
Concrete Columns	Quantity	Width	Length	Height	Volume (CF)	Length	Туре	Length	Туре
CC1	14	12	12	13.33	13.33	53.32	#7	80	#4
CC2	4	14	14	13.33	18.15	53.32	#7	80	#4
Concrete Piers	Quantity	Width	Length	Height	Volume (CF)	Length	Туре	Length	Туре
16x16	2	16	16	10.88	19.24	87.0	#6	58.6	#4
16x16	1	16	16	6.03	10.67	48.3	#6	32.0	#4
16x16	2	16	16	5.03	8.89	40.2	#6	26.7	#4
16x16	3	16	16	4.02	7.11	32.2	#6	21.3	#4
16x16	2	16	16	3.01	5.33	24.1	#6	16.0	#4
24x24	1	24	24	6.00	24	48.0	#7	96.0	#4
24x24	2	24	24	5.00	20	40.0	#7	80.0	#4
24x24	4	24	24	3.00	12	24.0	#7	48.0	#4
16x24	1	16	24	1.38	3.67	11.0	#7	32.0	#4
16x24	1	16	24	4.88	12.97	39.0	#7	80.0	#4
16x24	1	16	24	1.69	4.5	13.5	#7	32.0	#4

					Stone Pa	nel Proje	ections S	tructure								·
Namo	Quantity	Hoight	Longth	Thicknoss	Aroa	Volumo		Horizton	tal Rebar			Vertica	l Rebar		Dov	vels
Name	Quantity	Height	Length	THICKNESS	Area	volume	Length	Туре	Length	Туре	Length	Туре	Length	Туре	Length	Туре
Wall Footing CF2.0 C-SE	1	2	23.33	2	46.67	93.33	70	#5	-	-	48	#5	-	-	-	-
Concrete Wall C-SE	1	2.63	20.67	0.75	54.38	40.79	81.7	#5	-	-	110.5	#5	-	-	63	#5
Concrete Wall C-SE	1	10.88	20.67	0.75	225.04	168.67	338.2	#5	-	-	457.0	#5	-	-	-	-
Wall Footing CF4.0 C-SC	1	4	25.29	2	50.58	202.32	177	#6	126.5	#5	136	#5	76.1	#5	-	-
Concrete Wall C-SC	2	6.67	31.03	1.083	206.9701	224.1486	434.42	#5	-	-	413.54	#5	-	-	280	#6
Concrete Wall C-SC	1	4.3	28.416	0.67	122.1888	81.8665	284.16	#5	-	-	120.4	#5	-	-	-	-
Wall Footing CF4.0 E-SC	1	4	27.67	2	55.34	221.36	193.7	#6	138.4	#5	147.6	#5	83.2	#5	-	-
Concrete Wall E-SC	1	3.89	28.468	1.083	110.81	120	227.744	#5	-	-	225.62	#5	-	-	145	#5
Wall Footing CF4.0 C-NE, E-NW	1	8	23.33	2	186.64	373.28	163.31	#6	116.65	#5	248.8533333	#5	140.3308	#5	-	-
Concrete Wall C-NE, E-NW	1	3.66	20.67	1.167	75.66	56.46	153.72	#5	-	-	115.5789474	#5	-	-	63	#5
Wall Footing CF4.0 C-NC, E-NC	1	12	27.67	2	332	664	193.69	#6	138.35	#5	442.72	#5	249.6541	#5	-	-
Concrete Wall C-NC, E-NC	1	4	30.47	1.083	121.88	131.996	243.76	#5	-	-	248	#5	-	-	310	#5
Wall Footing CF2.0 E-SE	1	2	33.75	2	67.5	135	101.25	#5	-	-	67.5	#5	-	-	-	-
Concrete Wall E-SE	1	12.7	32.96	0.67	418.592	280.4566	856.96	#5	-	-	838.2	#5	-	-	330	#6
Concrete Wall E-SE	1	1.51	25.25	1.083	38.28	41.47	101	#5	-	-	75.5	#5	-	-	-	-

				١	Nrap Arou	nd Porch	n Structu	re							
South Porch	Quantity	Hoight	Longth	Thicknoss	CE	CE	Longitud	inal Rebar	Top Transv	erse Reba	Bot Transvers	se Rebar	W	WF	
South Forch	Quantity	neight	Length	mickness	Эг	Cr	Length	Туре	Length	Туре	Length	Туре	Area	Туре	Perimeter
Slab 1st Floor Right	1	9.75	24	0.33	233.56	77.85	344	#4	240	#4	-	-	233.56	2.1x2.1	69
Slab 1sr Floor Left	1	9.75	34.36	0.33	335.01	111.67	492.4933	#4	343.6	#4	-	-	335.01	2.1x2.1	97.83
8" Structural	1	8.92	32.43	0.67	289.25	192.83	217.5005	#4	291.87	#4	389.16	#5	-	-	68.583
6" Structural	1	11.46	22.95	0.5	263.04	131.52	316.8759	#4	316.8759	#4	-	-	263.04	2.5x25	76.083
8" Structural	1	8.92	40.98	0.67	365.57	243.71	274.8433	#4	368.82	#4	491.76	#5	-	-	105.17
8" Structural	1	8.92	10.24	0.67	91.35	60.9	68.67729	#4	92.16	#4	122.88	#5	-	-	40.583
8" Structural	1	9.75	24.97	0.67	243.42	162.28	183.0508	#4	224.73	#4	299.64	#5	-	-	8.75
Concrete Beam CB1	11		8.75			8.75	52.5	#4	47.97	#4	-	-	-	-	

					Bathroor	n Slab St	tructure								
Rathroom Slah	Porimotor	Quantity	Width	Longth	Thicknoss	SE.	CE	Top Long	g. Rebar	Bot Lo	ng. Rebar	Top Trai	ns. Rebar	Bot Trar	ns. Rebar
Bathoon Slab	renneter	Quantity	wiath	Length	THICKNESS	Эг	Cr	Length	Туре	Length	Туре	Length	Туре	Length	Туре
Concrete	90	6	15.75	20.67	0.52	308.56	160.71	336	#4	336	#5	336	#4	336	#4

South Stairs Structure														
South Stairs	Quantity	Height	Length	Thickness	SF	CF	Horiz. Top Rebar		Horiz. Bot Rebar		Vert. Top Rebar		Vert. Bot Rebar	
							Length	Туре	Length	Туре	Length	Туре	Length	Туре
Footing CF2.0a	2	2	37.9825	1.33	50.52	101.03	-	-	113.94	#5	-	-	76	#5
Concrete Wall	2	1.49	37.066	1.083	59.81	55.23	-	-	111	#5	-	-	41.154	#5
Concrete Stairs	1	-	8	20 Risers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Concrete Stairs	1	-	11	7 Risers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

North Slab on Grade								
North Walkway	Thickness	Volume	Area	Perimeter				
Slab on Grade	0.4167	1187.595	2850	70.5				

Structural Steel						
Porch Roof Members	Quantity ea	Length				
HSS 7x4x3/8	4	9.75				
HSS 7x4x3/8	25	12.33				
HSS 7x4x3/8	1	7				
HSS 7x4x3/8	3	10.33				
HSS 4 1/2x4 1/2x3/8	13	12.58				
HSS 4 1/2x4 1/2x3/8	16	10.33				
HSS 4 1/2x4 1/2x3/8	8	9.75				
HSS 4 1/2x4 1/2x3/8	3	8.67				
HSS 6x4x3/8	8	12.33				
W8x28	13	10.042				
C6x13	1	17				
C6x13	2	12.33				
C8x11.5	2	10				
L4x4x1/4	1	30.17				
Small Projection Roof Members	(8 total)					
Steel Trusses	2	11.95				
Steel Trusses	2	9.45				
Steel Trusses	2	7.78				
Steel Trusses	2	6.15				
Steel Trusses	2	4.48				
Steel Trusses	2	2.84				
Steel Trusses	1	14.45				
Large Projection Roof Members	(4 total)					
Steel Trusses	4	14.2				
Steel Trusses	2	12.72				
Steel Trusses	2	11.2				
Steel Trusses	2	9.57				
Steel Trusses	2	7.78				
Steel Trusses	2	6.15				
Steel Trusses	2	4.48				
Steel Trusses	2	2.84				
Steel Trusses	1	20				

Porch Roof Decking	Perimeter	Area		
2" 2C Conform	143	528.4		
2" 2C Conform	84	294.67		
2" 2C Conform	80.75	264.21		
2" 2C Conform	85.75	301.75		
2" 2C Conform	62	171.89		
2" 2C Conform	141.17	462.66		
2" 2C Conform	96.33	474.09		
2" 2C Conform	82.83	298.07		
2" 2C Conform	127.583	604.75		
2" 2C Conform	62.33	173.4		
Exterior Metal Raililngs	Quantity LF			
South Porch	512			

October 16, 2013

### APPENDIX C: SITE LAYOUT PLANNING







### APPENDIX D: GENERAL CONDITIONS ESTIMATE

General Conditions Estimate								
Cost Code	Description	Quantity	Unit	Labor/Unit	Labor Total			
	Personnel/Staff							
013113200220	Project Executive	18	Week	3825	\$	68,850		
013113200200	Project Director	44	Week	3350	\$	147,400		
013113200180	Senior Project Manager	87	Week	2900	\$	252,300		
013113200120	Senior Project Engineer	87	Week	2050	\$	178,350		
013113200100	Project Engineer	87	Week	1575	\$	137,025		
013113200260	Senior Superintendent	87	Week	3100	\$	269,700		
013113200240	Field Superintendent	43	Week	2825	\$	121,475		
013113200240	Field Superintendent	43	Week	2825	\$	121,475		
013113200010	Intern	13	Week	1040	\$	13,520		
013113200020	Project Technician	87	Week	570	\$	49,590		
	Field Office							
015213400100	Equipment	20	Month	217.8	\$	4,356		
015213400120	Supplies	20	Month	100	\$	2,000		
015213400140	Telephone	20	Month	88.11	\$	1,762		
015213400160	Lights and HVAC	20	Month	165.33	\$	3,307		
015213400010	Computer Equipment/Software	1	LPSM	50000	\$	50,000		
015213400010	Furniture	1	LPSM	10000	\$	10,000		
015213400010	Postage/Packaging	20	Month	200	\$	4,000		
	Quality & Testing							
014523505570	Testing (1/month)	20	Each	301.32	\$	6,026		
	Temporary Utilities							
015113500140	Temporary Electrical Power	1	Each	3268.25	\$	3,268		
	Temporary Facilities							
015626500250	Site Fencing	2700	LF	7.43	\$	20,061		
015813500020	Signage	200	SF	37.13	\$	7,426		
015433406410	Temporary Toilets (4)	80	Month	227.88	\$	18,230		
	Small Tools							
015433400010	Small Tools/Equipment	1	LPSM	5000	\$	5,000		
	<b>Cleaning and Waste Management</b>							
024119190600	Dumpsters (2)	174	Week	505	\$	87,870		
017413200010	Final Cleaning	710.02	MSF	90.46	\$	64,228		
	General Conditions Subtotal				\$	1,647,220		
			_					
	Insurance							
013113300020	Builders Risk	\$ 28,833,020	Job	0.0024	\$	69,199		
013113300600	Liability	\$ 28,833,020	Job	0.01	\$	288,330		
013113900010	Payment & Performance Bond	\$ 28,833,020	Job	0.006	\$	172,998		
	Insurance							
013113300020	Contingency	\$ 28,833,020	Job	0.02	\$	582,700		
	General Conditions Total				\$	2.760.448		

October 16, 2013

### APPENDIX E: BIM USE EVALUATION





Developed with the BIM Project Execution Planning Procedure by the Penn State CIC Research Team http://www.engr/psu.edu/ae/cic/bimex

## APPENDIX F: REFERENCES

#### **Detailed Structural Estimate**

"Rebar-Info." Rebar Info. Web. 02 Oct. 2013.

<http://www.rebar-info.com/rebar-info-sizes.html>

"RSMeans Online." RSMeans Online. Web. 26 Sept. 2013.

<http://www.rsmeansonline.com/>

Steel Tube Institute of North America. "Hollow Structural Sections." Steel Tube Institute. Web. 05 Oct. 2013. <a href="http://steeltubeinstitute.org/">http://steeltubeinstitute.org/</a>

#### **Constructability Challenges**

"Concrete Knowledge Center - Troubleshooting Surface Imperfections." *Delamination*. Web. 30 Sep. 2013. <a href="http://www.concrete.org/Technical/CKC/troubleshooting/articles/053.htm">http://www.concrete.org/Technical/CKC/troubleshooting/articles/053.htm</a>

**BIM Use Evaluation** 

"PROJECT." BIM Execution Planning. Web. 11 Oct. 2013.

<http://bim.psu.edu/Project/resources/default.aspx>